

```
    1 Debtor 1:
    LTS Management Services, LLC
    2 110 West 9th Street, Suite 100
    Kansas City, MO 64105
    3 JACKSON-MO
    Tax ID/EIN: 43-1856233
    4 aka LTS Management, LLC
    aka LTS Marketing, LLC
5
        Represented LTS Management Services, LLC
        by PRO SE
        Trustee
        Janice E. Stanton
8 104 W. 9th Street - Suite 303
        Kansas City, MO 64105
9 816-421-7770
        Email: Janice.stanton@sbcglobal.net
10 TERMINATED: 1/12/2016
1 1 ~ r e p r e s e n t e d ~ J a n i c e ~ E . ~ S t a n t o n ~
        by 104 W. 9th Street- Suite 303
12 Kansas City, MO 64105
        816-421-7770
        Fax: 816-421-7773
        Email: Janice.stanton@sbcglobal.net
14
        Trustee
15 Edward J. Nazar
        Hinkle Law Firm, LLC
16 301 North Main, Suite 2000
        Wichita, KS 67202-4802
17 816-221-8855
        Email: Enazar@hinklaw.com
18
        represented Edward J. Nazar
19 by Hinkle Law Firm, LLC
        3 0 1 ~ N o r t h ~ M a i n , ~ S u i t e ~ 2 0 0 0 ~
20 Wichita, KS 67202-4820
        316-267-2000
        Fax: 316-264-1518
        Email: Enazar@hinklaw.com
22
23
24
2 5
```

```
1 U.S. Trustee
    United States Trustee
    2400 E. 9th St., Rm. 3440
        Kansas City, MO 64106
    3
        represented by Adam E. Miller
4 by Office of the United States Trustee
        400 E. 9th St., Ste. 3440
    5 Kansas City, MO 64106
        816-512-1967
6
    Jerry L. Phillips
    7 Office of the U.S. Trustee
        Room 3440
    8 400 East 9th Street
        Kansas City, MO 64106-1910
        816-512-1967
        Email: ustpRegion13KC.ECF.usdoj.gov
        Terminated: 02/16/2016
        Sherri L. Wattenbarger
        Office of the U.S. Trustee
        Room 3440
        400 East 9th Street
        Kansas City, MO 64106-1910
        816-512-1967
14 Email: sherri.wattenbarger@usdoj.gov
        Terminated: 10/05/2015
15
1 6
17
1 8
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22
23
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```


## AUDIO TRANCRIPTION OF § 341 CREDITORS' MEETING

```
    P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Sir, would you raise
    your right hand please. Do you solemnly swear that
    the testimony you are about to give in the cause will
    be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the
    truth so help you God?
    MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Thank you sir. Mr.
    Kimball, first of all thank you for being here. Mr.
    Pelofsky, thank you for being here. As both of you
    know, I have been appointed to the successor trustee.
    I'm Edward Nazaar for the record. Mr. Kimball, I was
    here for a significant portion of your testimony
    yesterday at your personal meeting of creditors and
    so I have that, some information. I have -- in order
    to try to expedite the process, I have asked
    colleagues to sit here really to speed this process
    up, to try to make it as straight forward. We are
    not going to try to talk over one another, but we
    will try to get that information out just as quickly
    as we can. Can you tell me how you got into this
    business?
    MR. KIMBALL: Into --
    EDWARD NAZAAR: The --
```

MR. KIMBALL: Microfinance Petty
Lumbus (ph) --
EDWARD NAZAAR: Yes.
MR. KIMBALL: To be brief, in 1999
Sam Furseth, Mark Curry and I created the company called the Laptop Shop.

EDWARD NAZAAR: Which is LTS.
MR. KIMBALL: LTS, and we sold
computers from large corporations when they would upgrade their platform. To give you a small example, Bank Midwest down the street here, when they had a changeover of their routers, we would call somebody like them and they would sell us their routers and we would resell them to a wholesaler or an enduser. That was 1999.

EDWARD NAZAAR: And were you an IT

```
person?
```

MR. KIMBALL: No.

EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay.
MR. KIMBALL: I was a sales person.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay.
MR. KIMBALL: As we may have
remembered, the year 2000 was crazed, that they thought all their computers were going to crash. So when they didn't, every single company in the world

## AUDIO TRANCRIPTION OF § 341 CREDITORS' MEETING

had bought redundancy of every single piece of
hardware they had, so this wholesale computer market
when from down the toilet because there was a
flooding of brand new computer equipment being sold
on E-Bay and all these other different auction sites
at the time. A friend of mine, name Joel Tucker
(ph), he and his brother had a car dealership,
(clearing throat) excuse me, and they started lending
money against the cars, against their paychecks, that
they put an as directed out in the USA Today saying
it would be two hundred dollars call an 800 number,
an 800 number. They literally couldn't pick up the
phone fast enough. He and I were friends from the
University of Kansas, and he said why don't we put
some of your computers in my car dealership. And we
did the run rate and at twenty-five dollars a week,
it would take forever to pay for these computers, so
I said why don't we just do your overflow. And so he
would give us --
EDWARD NAZAAR: When you say -- can I
interrupt you, when you said, put your computers in
there, you were doing the accounting work on his --
MR. KIMBALL: No.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Buyer bigger (ph).
MR. KIMBALL: No, just sell computers

```
out of his car dealership.
```

        EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay.
        MR. KIMBALL: Make sense?
        EDWARD NAZAAR: Yeah.
        MR. KIMBALL: Because he figured if
    they wanted to buy one of his cars for two thousand
    dollars, why not buy a computer for two hundred
dollars.
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Sorry.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Thank you.
MR. KIMBALL: We decided as an
alternative to doing that, was just to take the
overflow because they could not handle the volume
from this classified as directed, so basically every
week he would give me a list of five thousand
(inaudible).
EDWARD NAZAAR: So this was kind of a
buy here, pay -- this is a prototype of a buy here,
pay here sort of deal?
MR. KIMBALL: I had nothing to do
with the car dealership. That's how he got into the
lending business because he would lend those
customers money. If they made their car payment, he
would lend them two hundred bucks. So basically I
would get a list of names and we created a credit

```
application from my father who is a banking attorney
and would mail this list, this application, and
within a week, for example, we'd mail ten thousand
names a week. We would probably get three or four
thousand applications off that mailing list. We
broke all the direct mailing records that you can
possibly imagine. They would fax us a copy of the
credit application, their bank statement, a copy of
their government ID and a voided check. Based upon
that information, we decided whether we would lend
them two hundred dollars. We only had product, two
hundred dollars for, I'd say five years. So that's
how I got into the business.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: I'm not familiar with
your - your father practices where?
    MR. KIMBALL: In Oklahoma City.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: And you're not -- his
name, I'm sorry.
    MR. KIMBALL: Paul Kimball.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay. What firm
would he be with?
    MR. KIMBALL: He used to be with
    Kimball, Wilson, and Walker and then subsequent he's
    retired. He's about, I think he is 77 years old.
                            EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay. And being from
```

```
    Wichita, Oklahoma City.
    MR. KIMBALL: Well, just to give you
    a reference, he was the lead council for the FSLIC in
    the 80s in the state of Oklahoma which obviously was
    the epicenter of that --
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Yeah.
    MR. KIMBALL: Deboggle (ph).
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Yeah. So that got
you into the Microloan business?
    MR. KIMBALL: eventually it migrated
    from this direct mailing list to, we built websites,
    and then we, Mr. Tucker got out of the lending side
    and went into the marketing side which is the lead
    side as the person who used to deal with Google, and
    Google had like fifty employees. I didn't like that
    part of the business. I liked the lending and the
    underwriting side. And so we basically paid Mr.
    Tucker to bring us applications and we would yes or
    no them, and eventually it became an electronic
    system where we devised our software. I think you
heard part of that story yesterday. And his software
    and our software just basically talked twenty-four
    hours a day, seven days a week.
        EDWARD NAZAAR: His software being
    Tuckers?
```

MR. KIMBALL: I don't - I don't know what the name of the software was, but it would be Edata Solutions Software.

EDWARD NAZAAR: But I mean, when you say, he, who do you mean?

MR. KIMBALL: Mr. Tucker.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Mr. Tucker, okay.
MR. KIMBALL: So basically the reason that the online business is very unique versus the shops that you may see, $I$ don't know about Wichita but they were all over Kansas City, is that the demographic is completely different. It's a much higher income level because they don't necessarily want to walk into a store and bring a whole bunch of paperwork and possibly have their friends see them or whatever, so you can apply at two in the morning and then at seven a.m. you get a call from us.

EDWARD NAZAAR: Um-hum.
MR. KIMBALL: If you apply at 7:05, you get a call at 7:06. We would try to make a call reaction time was within one minute because of the end when we were fully integrate before government, knock the banks out of business.

BRENDON MCPHERSON: Mr. Kimball, this is Brendon McPherson for the record. Can you talk a
little bit about how you data the marketing side that
would generate the leads. I assume this was
websites, and how did it work in terms of who did
they send leads to? Was it just you? Was there
competition?
BRENDON MCPHERSON: Sure.
MR. KIMBALL: I can only speak for
what $I$ know about my relationship. At the end Edata
was not owned by Mr. Tucker, it was owned by the
Wyandotte Tribe of Oklahoma and I think in
(inaudible) business systems, but at the beginning it
was just owned by Mr. Tucker. But it kind of $-I$
think what you're trying to understand, the way $I$
understood it, when I had, for example, twenty
websites, I would pay Google for what they call per
click and clicks can be click to the first page,
second page, application, and there is a different
price for each one of those things. And it's
incredibly complicated and incredibly boring. So my
understanding was that he had relationships with
thousands of people who had websites. Those people
are called lead aggregators. Same thing, if you're
looking for a car or a, you know purse, shoes or
something, you type in whatever you want in Google or
Yahoo or whatever will give you a list. You can pay

```
```

    1 \text { to be on that first page or you can pay to be on the}
    ```
```

```
    1 \text { to be on that first page or you can pay to be on the}
```

```
    sixtieth page. We didn't want to be on the sixtieth
```

    sixtieth page. We didn't want to be on the sixtieth
    page. So I assume that Mr. Tucker paid these people
    page. So I assume that Mr. Tucker paid these people
    to be on the first page.
    to be on the first page.
    The way our system work because we had our
    The way our system work because we had our
    own propriety software, the DMS software that I told
    own propriety software, the DMS software that I told
    you about yesterday, is that we just wanted to look
    you about yesterday, is that we just wanted to look
    at raw data. We didn't want to look at what they
    at raw data. We didn't want to look at what they
    called underwritten data and what I mean by
    called underwritten data and what I mean by
    underwritten, he created a franchise model. So let's
    underwritten, he created a franchise model. So let's
    say, because I've known you for a couple years now,
    say, because I've known you for a couple years now,
    Brendon McPherson had a loan portfolio with Edata.
    Brendon McPherson had a loan portfolio with Edata.
    They would use Edata software and he would send you a
    They would use Edata software and he would send you a
    list of preapproved applicants. We were on a system
    list of preapproved applicants. We were on a system
    where we looked at all the data and picked the data
    where we looked at all the data and picked the data
    that we wanted to buy on any given day, let's just
    that we wanted to buy on any given day, let's just
    say in 2012, a very big year of ours, we'd look at
    say in 2012, a very big year of ours, we'd look at
    thirty to fifty thousand applications a day and buy
    thirty to fifty thousand applications a day and buy
    four thousand of those. Of those four thousand, we
    four thousand of those. Of those four thousand, we
    might make a thousand loans if that makes sense. And
    might make a thousand loans if that makes sense. And
    that was based upon a number of combinations, mostly
    that was based upon a number of combinations, mostly
    that despite the fact that it's called a Payday Loan,
    that despite the fact that it's called a Payday Loan,
    you'd be surprised how many people who didn't have a
    you'd be surprised how many people who didn't have a
    Payday would apply for a Payday loan. So there was a
    Payday would apply for a Payday loan. So there was a
    number of knockout criteria's. And then we would, if
    ```
    number of knockout criteria's. And then we would, if
```

```
we bought the application, then we would send it to,
```

we bought the application, then we would send it to,
based on the Patriot Act to a company called Accurint
based on the Patriot Act to a company called Accurint
that would verify the Social Security number versus a
that would verify the Social Security number versus a
either a utility bill or a mortgage or something and
either a utility bill or a mortgage or something and
then it would also go against the OFAC. You know
then it would also go against the OFAC. You know
what the OFAC is?
what the OFAC is?
EDWARD NAZAAR: No.
EDWARD NAZAAR: No.
MR. KIMBALL: That's the terrorist
MR. KIMBALL: That's the terrorist
watch list. So if it passed that criteria, it went
watch list. So if it passed that criteria, it went
to an underwriting company that was kind of like an
to an underwriting company that was kind of like an
Experian for the industry. There's a lot of them
Experian for the industry. There's a lot of them
now.
now.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Um-hum.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Um-hum.
MR. KIMBALL: So they would have a
MR. KIMBALL: So they would have a
database on, let's just use you for an example, on
database on, let's just use you for an example, on
your credit history with Online Lending and retail
your credit history with Online Lending and retail
lending, and so if you had not taken more than three
lending, and so if you had not taken more than three
loans in the last year, not defaulted on a loan in
loans in the last year, not defaulted on a loan in
the last year, and not applied more than let's say
the last year, and not applied more than let's say
nine times in the last ten days, then you would go to
nine times in the last ten days, then you would go to
the next step and that would be in the banking
the next step and that would be in the banking
system. If I'm talking, telling too much, just tell
system. If I'm talking, telling too much, just tell
me. There's a, when you use your ATM, you're
me. There's a, when you use your ATM, you're
actually making a credit transaction. The ATM is
actually making a credit transaction. The ATM is
lending you money for let's say five minutes. So we

```
    lending you money for let's say five minutes. So we
```

use a system that's like the ATM system. We ping
your bank account to see if that bank account was
actually active. If it was active, there is like
fifteen different response codes, active with money,
active with no money, fraudulent transactions, all
kinds of things. Based upon those three criteria, we
would make a decision whether we would lend you
money. So out of those four thousand applications
that we bought off the raw data, half of them would
get kicked out. That costs about a dollar to do
those three transactions. So it could be quite
expensive when you're talking about mass volume. And
then we would maybe convert fifty percent of the
(inaudible) from the (inaudible) from the four
thousand to the two thousand, that we would maybe
make --
EDWARD NAZAAR: Who developed this
for you? I mean this is a really complex system.
MR. KIMBALL: I didn't develop it, I
just --
EDWARD NAZAAR: They just evolved.
MR. KIMBALL: For the first two
years, we didn't have any employees so I made all the
loans myself and Sam, so when you talk to these
people you understand what their problems are and so

```
you can determine when someone, I guess for a lack of
    a better term, lying to you. They are all basically
    lying to you about something. You'd be surprised if
    you look at somebody's bank statement and you see an
    ATM withdrawal at Harrah's Casino at 2:30 in the
    morning and tell you their kid is sick, what they
    were really borrowing the money for, so.
    BRENDON MCPHERSON: And you were only
    paying then for, I think you said applications. And
    I think you used a hypothetical of like twenty
    thousand potential leads and you weren't paying for
    the leads, you were only paying for the --
    MR. KIMBALL: We had a volume deal
    where we looked at his data for a set price.
    BRENDON MCPHERSON: And was that data
    exclusive to you or would Edata --
    MR. KIMBALL: I don't know. I don't
        know.
            EDWARD NAZAAR: When did --
            MR. KIMBALL: We were his biggest
        customer.
                        EDWARD NAZAAR: When did Edata, when
        was it developed?
        MR. KIMBALL: I don't know. It was
        Bahamas marketing group and at some point, I'm
```

```
    guessing, 2005 or 2006 they switched to Edata. I
    could be off by a year or two, I don't know, but it
was the same principal.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: But you personally or
Mr. Furseth weren't involved with Edata. Edata was a
vendor to you, is that what, or to LTS?
    MR. KIMBALL: Yes, we never had any
    ownership or --
    EDWARD NAZAAR: And when you describe
    your relationship or business relationship with Mr.
    Tucker, was that LTS at that point?
    MR. KIMBALL: At that point, I don't
    know if LTS was, I think it was probably DMS
Marketing at the beginning and eventually when we
brought on investors beyond my dad and Sam's dad and
    their cousins and friends and cousins, like this
    gentleman represents, we created a facilities
    management company called LTS Management. In the
    perfect world, LTS Management would have just been a
    pure call center operation but unfortunately, as I
    explained yesterday, with smaller investors we let,
    out of probably just being lazy, LTS borrowed the
    money from them, but LTS truly was, all the employees
    that's where they got their paycheck from, that's
    where their 401K was from, and that's where there,
```

```
but that's where they felt like they worked, but they
actually lent out money for a multitude of individual
LLCs.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: So DMS was a Missouri
Corporation, a Delaware Corporation?
    MR. KIMBALL: I don't remember.
        EDWARD NAZAAR: Who formed it for
    you?
        MR. KIMBALL: I don't remember.
        EDWARD NAZAAR: So its, it was the
    initial microlender?
        MR. KIMBALL: I believe so. This is
        in 2000 --
            EDWARD NAZAAR: I understand. I'm
    just trying to get a sense of how this thing works.
    MR. KIMBALL: DMS stood for Del Mark
    Sam.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay.
    MR. KIMBALL: Mark Curry, we bought
        out in November of 2005.
            EDWARD NAZAAR: And is that when LTS
        was formed?
            MR. KIMBALL: No, LTS was already
        formed before then. LTS was the original laptop
        shop. It was formed in September of 1999.
```

```
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay.
    BRENDON MCPHERSON: What were you
    doing before LTS?
    MR. KIMBALL: I worked at Minolta
Business Systems in Chicago, Illinois.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Can I ask a
    question?
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Yeah, go ahead.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I have another
    for the US Trustee, would you classify LTS Management
    as a servicer? Did it actually service the loans?
    MR. KIMBALL: Service, I mean, it
    just basically, it was the backroom operations to
make sure the payments were made.
    BRENDON MCPHERSON: So who collected
    the payments? If a borrower made a payment, who
    actually was involved in collecting the money from
        the borrowers?
            MR. KIMBALL: The bank collected the
        payments?
                            EDWARD NAZAAR: For whose benefit?
        That's the question.
        MR. KIMBALL: For the benefit of the
        LLC that made the loan.
        EDWARD NAZAAR: whose bank account
```

```
was it?
```

    MR. KIMBALL: Each one of these LLCs
    other owned bank accounts.
    BRENDON MCPHERSON: Well, when you
    say the bank collected the loans, you gave the bank -
    - MR. KIMBALL: Automatic clearing
houses and process.
BRENDON MCPHERSON: Right, right.
But you would give them, somebody would give the bank
an instruction to collect that, is that correct?
MR. KIMBALL: LTS Management would
give a file every day to the bank after we divided
out all the loans to the LLCs, instructing which is
called, somebody on the list, I don't --
EDWARD NAZAAR: Yeah we do. Its --
MR. KIMBALL: Let's just say Camden
Marketing, give ten loans to Camden Marketing and
then give names, the Social Security numbers and the
routing number and instructed to send out let's say
three thousand that day and then the same day would
say Camden Marketing would be owed let's say two
thousand dollars, it was called an ACH file and it
would have credits meaning money going back to us in
debits money going out.

```
    BRENDON MCPHERSON: Okay, so when the
bank collected that money and would deposit into an
account belonging to LTS?
    MR. KIMBALL: No, to Camden.
    BRENDON MCPHERSON: Okay. So there
were individual --
    MR. KIMBALL: We just processed it
    for them.
    BRENDON MCPHERSON: So there were
individual accounts for each of the individual --
    MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
    BRENDON MCPHERSON: Operations.
    MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So as Camden an
example, there could be hundreds of transactions in a
month if that was a high volume --
    MR. KIMBALL: If it was a big fund,
there could be thousands.
    BRENDON MCPHERSON: Was there a
written agreement between Camden and LTS?
    MR. KIMBALL: yes.
    BRENDON MCPHERSON: What was that
agreement called?
    MR. KIMBALL: Service agreement.
    BRENDON MCPHERSON: So LTS was a
```

```
    servicer in the sense that it was responsible for
    ultimately collecting the payments from the borrower.
    MR. KIMBALL: It provided the day to
    day operations. So let's just take Brendon for
    example, Brendon is an attorney, I'm picking on you -
    -
    BRENDON MCPHERSON: No, its fine.
    It's fine.
    MR. KIMBALL: He invested money, he
    doesn't want to come down there and make the loans
    himself, right, so he is paying LTS to do the daily
    functions of the loan business for his LLC or if he
    just lent the money to the LLC, that LLC was owned by
    Sam and I and with that LLC made the debt payments to
    Brendon's either his LLC or (inaudible), but the
    functions of making the money were provided by LTS,
    deciding who to lend to and then following up on the
    payments and then making sure they got all the proper
    documents sent to them, et cetera.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Did LTS get a
        percentage cut of what was received or how was that?
        How was LTS compensation?
    MR. KIMBALL: LTS got compensated by
        twenty percent of the money of the outstanding loans
    on the street. So we were incentivized to make
```

```
loans. So if we made a bunch of bad loans, let's say
you gave a hundred thousand dollars and all hundred
thousand dollars went into bad debt, we would not be
able to charge you any money, but if all hundred
thousand dollars were good loans, we would charge you
twenty percent per month of the outstanding loan
balance.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: As collected.
    MR. KIMBALL: No.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay.
    MR. KIMBALL: The loan balance.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: But if it were
written off then it wouldn't be on the street, is
your point.
    MR. KIMBALL: Right, but the goal was
    if we lost a loan for you that day, we would try to
    replace it within a day or two.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: But if you were
    receiving a payment and --
    MR. KIMBALL: It doesn't do with
payments, it had to do with loan portfolio, the
principal amount of money on the street.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Only paid when the
    principal got repaid?
    MR. KIMBALL: No.
```

EDWARD NAZAAR: No, advanced. MR. KIMBALL: If Brendon gives a hundred thousand dollars and all hundred thousand dollars was lent out and maintained continuously, he would pay twenty thousand dollars a month for us to maintain that hundred thousand dollars. EDWARD NAZAAR: Twenty a month? MR. KIMBALL: A month.

EDWARD NAZAAR: Is that an unsustainable business model? MR. KIMBALL: No. EDWARD NAZAAR: Tell me, why not? I mean $I$ can't --

MR. KIMBALL: Because that hundred thousand dollars generates a few thousand dollars a month in revenue.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: How much? MR. KIMBALL: Sixty thousand dollars a month in revenue.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: From the interest payments?

MR. KIMBALL: No, fee payments.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Maybe I can
clarify this, because I think I speak your language to some degree. So because these are very short-term

```
loans, right?
    MR. KIMBALL: The loans were designed
    to be paid back within two weeks.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. So that
hundred thousand dollars should turn over in your
example, 2.2 times per month, if all the loans were
repaid timely.
    MR. KIMBALL: Not 2.2 times, but the
    first month one time and after that, two times every
month.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And --
    MR. KIMBALL: Because nobody gets
paid more than two times a month.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And I think you
said yesterday during your testimony that there was a
short criteria for write off, so if they didn't repay
within a --
    MR. KIMBALL: We went down within
twenty-one to thirty days if they would not pay not
us at all.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So that money
would be on the street if it were bad for no more
than twenty-one to thirty days.
    MR. KIMBALL: The grace period, the
grace period for example, if you borrowed money,
```

```
today is a Friday, ninety-eight percent of the world
gets paid on Friday in the United States, so if you
got paid today, your first payment with us would be
two weeks from now. If you got paid next Friday, we
would make your first payment twenty-one days from
now, so we would know within twenty-one days or if
you were a govern employee, you got paid on the
third, we would know by then whether or not you were
going to pay us. Because if you typically missed
your first payment, there was, it was almost like
ninety-eight percent chance you weren't going to pay
us anything.
                                    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: How quickly would
you write it off, if the (inaudible) payment was not
made?
    MR. KIMBALL: The typical borrower
would close their bank account between the time they
got the loan and the first payment. It's just --
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Let me put it
this way. So your payment is due on X date, would
you know when they closed the bank account?
    MR. KIMBALL: No, you only find out
when you attempt to debt that account.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So when you
attempt to debit that account and say that account
```

can't be debited, how quickly would you withdraw that
loan from the portfolio?
MR. KIMBALL: The same day.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay, so your
write off would occur the same day that the borrower
missed --
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Let me put in --
MR. KIMBALL: Column, thing would
happen.
EDWARD NAZAAR: This is a document
that was filed in your personal bankruptcy and it
lists various entities.
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
EDWARD NAZAAR: And this is at
Dockett 82-1 of the Del Kimball bankruptcy schedules,
case number 15-42262 and it's entitled an addendum to
Schedule B19 and statement of affairs number 27. And
there are various entities that are shown there.
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Are these the
entities that LTS serviced as part of this loan?
MR. KIMBALL: Some of these are not
lending entities but the majority of them are, so the
answer to your question is, in 2011, yes. After

```
    2012, it was Glacier Management.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: And so LTS was -- did
    LTS then go out and solicit money from third party
    persons that are the creditors in this case for --
    MR. KIMBALL: No.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: How did your
    investors or you -- when I say your, I mean LTS of
    course. How did LTS obtain either investment dollars
    or loan dollars to fund its operation?
    MR. KIMBALL: Ninety percent of the
    time people called me.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: And what
    documentation was utilized for that relationship?
    MR. KIMBALL: Case by case scenario.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Were there form
    documents that were utilized?
    MR. KIMBALL: We had a presentation
    that was a standard information about the industry
    and depending on the level of the investor, we may
    have done a ProForma, but ninety percent of the time
    someone called me and said, I hear you're making my
    friend a bunch of money, I've got some money, can I
    give it to?
        EDWARD NAZAAR: How was that
    documented?
```

MR. KIMBALL: We created a -- most of the relationships were in the form of debt so we would have a promissory note between the entity, Richmond, and the - I'm sorry Brendon with Lynn Richmond.

EDWARD NAZAAR: We'll use Brendon, so Brendon puts a hundred thousand dollars, he takes his posse nelly buck bonus with that --

MR. KIMBALL: And it would Richmond Ventures, LLC a hundred thousand dollars would be a promissory note and amortization schedule typically three years and with a lag of typically 90 to 120 days to give us time to lend the money out and then the payments would start.

EDWARD NAZAAR: And utilizing again,

```
I think you said Richmond?
```

MR. KIMBALL: I'm just using Richmond because I knew that was a lender.

EDWARD NAZAAR: Is Richmond a limited liability company? What is Richmond?

MR. KIMBALL: It is an LLC out of Delaware.

EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay, and who is
forming those entities?
MR. KIMBALL: We formed them

```
ourselves.
```

    EDWARD NAZAAR: I mean, just
    internally?
    MR. KIMBALL: Internally, its easy.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Yeah.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Would these be
    classified as special purpose vehicles?
    MR. KIMBALL: No, they are just LLCs.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Always Delaware?
    MR. KIMBALL: Not always. At the
    beginning we might have had some Missouri ones but
pretty much after a certain point they were all
Delaware as far as the lending entities and
eventually there was, as you can see, there was some
Isle of Man corporations but that is a whole
different ball of wax.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: When you were
talking about the twenty percent, basically service
fee, your management fee. I would believe that would
be in the context of people who invested more equity
on the debt side, still on the debt side it would be
twenty percent?
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: If there was
excess in that portfolio to pay both the twenty

```
percent and the principal and interest payment, what
    happened to that money?
    MR. KIMBALL: That was Sam and I
money.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay.
    MR. KIMBALL: The debt instrument is
    that you got your payment, let's just say your
    payment was five thousand dollars a month, that's all
    you got.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So you were
    guaranteeing a fixed rate of return to the investor?
    MR. KIMBALL: We weren't guaranteeing
    it but in a promissory note.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Then the note
    would be for a fixed rate of return, it wouldn't be
    dependent on the performance of the vehicle unless
    the vehicle failed in which case the investor would
    just be (inaudible).
    MR. KIMBALL: Exactly.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And that's where
    I just the twenty percent thing doesn't make sense to
    me and portfolio's where there was a debt investment.
    MR. KIMBALL: The investor, yourself,
    didn't care as long as you got your check for five
    thousand dollars.
```
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UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Right.
MR. KIMBALL: But that's how we allocated the expenses to make sure that everybody was paying consistently the same.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So if Richmond, if you're the fifty percent member and Sam Furseth is the fifty percent member and it had a total of thirty percent return, twenty percent of that was going, my math isn't right, but twenty percent was going to LTS and the other ten percent was going to Sam and Del as the owners of --

MR. KIMBALL: Theoretically.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay.
MR. KIMBALL: But we also, you know we would continuously building and developing so really we made money that way. LTS was a breakeven, was supposed to be a breakeven corporation or LLC, I'm sorry, or maybe a sub-asset, $I$ can't remember. It wasn't a profit center. One of the biggest concerns of an investor, like yourself, was there was some kind of priority status given to like my brother, like he got the best loans versus you I just met, you know on the golf course. So we made sure that everybody had the same kind of deal if that makes sense.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: On the list that
you have in front of you, would it be correct if,
that on the majority of entries are what you of as
(inaudible) companies, the majority of those, I'm
sorry all of those are LLCs and a majority of those
are Delaware LLCs.
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And is it true,
all of their members are in each instance, you and
Sam?
MR. KIMBALL: No.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay, so
sometimes there are other equity members in the
lending companies.
MR. KIMBALL: The members were never
Sam and I ever.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. Is there a
general format to who were the members of all these
lending company LLCs?
MR. KIMBALL: Yeah, everybody in
capital partners.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. Was the
single member?
MR. KIMBALL: Each one, the majority
of them were one hundred percent owned by Evergreen.

```
There was some partnerships and I couldn't tell
because there is so many, I would have to go look at
them and those would be typically a fifty-fifty
profits participation.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Now are there two
    Evergreen entities out there that we sometimes talk
    about within these combined cases?
    MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And the one who
    is chiefly the sole member of all of these lending
    companies, that's Evergreen --
    MR. KIMBALL: Capital partners.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. And what's
        the other Evergreen entity?
    MR. KIMBALL: Evergreen
        International.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Is Evergreen
    International the only one that is associated with
    some of the International entities, International
        business --
            MR. KIMBALL: It doesn't have
        anything to do with the United States businesses.
                            UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. When we
        talk about some of the Russian vistas, the Ivonics,
        all that, is that associated with Evergreen Capital
```

or Evergreen International?
MR. KIMBALL: Evergreen
International.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Who were the
members of Evergreen Capital?
MR. KIMBALL: Sam Furseth and Del
Kimball.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So the entities
that were, had investors that were lending equity
instead of debt because $I$ think that was testimony.
There were some that were more equity partners in
these than there were debt, were those, was the
member of those portfolios someone other than
Evergreen to allow other investors to be a part of
it?
MR. KIMBALL: I'm not sure I'm
following but if you weren't out of debt, LLC, the
LLC would be a fifty-fifty partnership, again $I$ will
use you as an example. You would be fifty percent
partners with Evergreen in a Delaware LLC and you
would still pay twenty percent and you would get
instead of a fixed amount monthly, it would be a
variable amount based upon the profitability of the
portfolio on the given month.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Do you have any

```
    examples of what some of the entities on that list
    that would have been equity as opposed to debt
    investments?
    MR. KIMBALL: I can't remember, we
    had so many, but there were, it was probably eighty
    percent debt and twenty percent equity.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: That was my next
    question, okay.
    MR. KIMBALL: The equity people, we
    only allow people to become equity partners typically
    after they had a debt instrument meaning they've
    became familiar with us and the way the system
    worked, because there may be months where you might
    not get a payment and despite having two teenagers, I
    didn't want to have any more temper tantrums that I
    already was having when somebody didn't get a
    payment.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Who kept track of all
    this stuff for you? This is really complex.
    MR. KIMBALL: At our high point, I
    think we had three hundred and fifty employees.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: But there must have
    been several key employees that were really your --
    MR. KIMBALL: Within the accounting
    department that kept track of the monetary side.
```

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And was that a

```
kind of department of LTS itself by that time?
```

MR. KIMBALL: Of the three hundred
fifty employees, let's just say two hundred of them
were directly for LTS or Glacier. A hundred or
hundred and fifty of them were third party call
centers just because we didn't have enough space at
our facility to add personnel so we hired third party
call centers which, you know, when you call your Visa
card you're not talking to Citibank you're talking to
a call center in Canada or Costa Rica or someplace.
We did the exact same thing.
EDWARD NAZAAR: But for instance,
none of the --
MR. KIMBALL: None of the functions
of the accounting was outside of LTS.
EDWARD NAZAAR: None of the lending
company had employees --
MR. KIMBALL: No, none of these
companies had any employees.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Evergreen Capital did
not have any employees?
MR. KIMBALL: No.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay.
MR. KIMBALL: Do you mind passing me

```
    the water? Thank you.
```

    the water? Thank you.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Sir, are you
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Sir, are you
    familiar, are you not, with the bankruptcy schedules
    familiar, are you not, with the bankruptcy schedules
    that were prepared in this case, the LTS case?
    that were prepared in this case, the LTS case?
    MR. KIMBALL: I would like to think I
    MR. KIMBALL: I would like to think I
    am but I'm probably not based on my last -- not
    am but I'm probably not based on my last -- not
    familiar as I should be.
    familiar as I should be.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I understand.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I understand.
    I'm looking at, let me start with Doc number 100
    I'm looking at, let me start with Doc number 100
    which was filed December 4, 2015 which is a statement
which was filed December 4, 2015 which is a statement
of financial affairs. I believe this document bears
of financial affairs. I believe this document bears
your signature, if I can show it to you. Let me kind
your signature, if I can show it to you. Let me kind
of go on from there. Simultaneously, at the same
of go on from there. Simultaneously, at the same
time Schedule B was filed, which was a list of
time Schedule B was filed, which was a list of
assets, Schedule D Secured Creditors, Schedule E
assets, Schedule D Secured Creditors, Schedule E
Priority Creditors, Schedule F General Creditors,
Priority Creditors, Schedule F General Creditors,
Schedule G Executory Contracts, Schedule H
Schedule G Executory Contracts, Schedule H
Co-debtors and then earlier on September 27th you
Co-debtors and then earlier on September 27th you
filed an earlier statement of a, there was a
filed an earlier statement of a, there was a
voluntary petition that was filed early on. If I'm
voluntary petition that was filed early on. If I'm
going to hand this to you, would you review this?
going to hand this to you, would you review this?
MR. KIMBALL: The entire --
MR. KIMBALL: The entire --
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, I mean,
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, I mean,
because I'm going to ask you some questions but I
because I'm going to ask you some questions but I
want to make sure you have some familiarity with it

```
want to make sure you have some familiarity with it
```

```
and recognize this document.
    UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: I have at least
    a Statement of Financial Affairs, if he wants to keep
    that in front of him.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay, thank you.
While you're looking, were these documents prepared
with your participation of the --
    MR. KIMBALL: Yes, I mean all these
    names are familiar and I gave the information to Mr.
    Pelofsky to help me do this. I'm sure based upon to
what's happened to me in the last several meetings
    that there is probably amendments and mistakes, but -
    -
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, I'm not
here to trick you, I'm really just trying to find out
some information.
    MR. KIMBALL: Sure, I'm happy to
    answer any questions that you have. I mean there is
    quite a list of creditors that I can't tell you for a
    fact that the amounts right, one hundred percent
    accurate. They were pulled off the accounts
    receivable on the accounting software.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I think operation
    chokepoint occurred in August of 2014?
    MR. KIMBALL: August 8, }2013\mathrm{ was the
```

```
announcement.
```

announcement.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And why did this
business fail?
MR. KIMBALL: It didn't fail, we lost
all of our bank accounts.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: You mean access
to them or --
MR. KIMBALL: The bank closed all of
our bank accounts.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And which bank
was that?
MR. KIMBALL: Core First.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: In Topeka? Or
here?
MR. KIMBALL: They are Commerce in
Topeka and are Core in Kansas City.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay.
MR. KIMBALL: Without access to the
bank account, you cannot make or receive any
payments. We didn't have a bank account, they just
closed them all.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And the Core
First accounts you're referring to, were those the
LTS management or were these of these various
entities?

```
```

    MR. KIMBALL: All of them.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: How many bank
    accounts did LTS management have in 2013?
    MR. KIMBALL: LTS management or all
    these funds?
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Let's start with
LTS management.
MR. KIMBALL: I don't know, probably
two or three.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: All at Core
First?
MR. KIMBALL: All at Core First.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And at that time,
wasn't the operation run under the name of Glacier
Management?
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And I think
yesterday you explained that this was really more of
a name change than anything else.
MR. KIMBALL: There were two reasons
for the change. One, is that we had investors who
were concerned about priority of their loans and
since LTS had debt, we didn't want the employees to
feel like LTS should get better loans so we wanted to
get a vehicle that had no loans to it. So we could

```
```

say Glacier has no skin in the game. Does that make
sense?
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I'm confused but
go ahead.
MR. KIMBALL: Also, LTS management
had bene around a long time and part of the reason
was is that we felt a name change was appropriate
given the regulatory things that were going on in
Washington, DC.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: But Glacier, and
we're talking about Glacier Management Services, LLC?
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And that was a
Delaware LLC?

```
    MR. KIMBALL: I believe so. I would
have to double check.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And it performed
the same duties and activities that LTS Management
LLC did before that?
    MR. KIMBALL: Exactly the same.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Now what confused
    me about what you were saying is that you referred to
        the LTS Management had loans.
    MR. KIMBALL: A long time ago it did.
    It had borrowed money from individuals.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And had never paid them back?

MR. KIMBALL: No, everybody was paid on schedule, but Glacier Management didn't have any, hadn't borrowed any money from anybody.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And so were there
documents that transferred the assets of LTS
Management to Glacier Management Services, LLC? Was
it a purchase agreement? Did Glacier Management
Services buy the assets of LTS Management?

MR. KIMBALL: What do you mean by
```

    assets?
    ```

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I'm presuming
that LTS Management held certain tangible or
intangible assets on its balance sheet.

MR. KIMBALL: If there is a document,
I'm not aware of it. The assets would be like
chairs, and desks and what not.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And service agreements to the various LLC such as Richmond.

MR. KIMBALL: I can't remember if we re-did the service agreements or not, but I can check.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Were they
assigned to --
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MR. KIMBALL: They might have been
reassigned.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: But Glacier and LTS were in fact two different companies. It wasn't just a name change of the same company.

MR. KIMBALL: They essentially did
the same exact thing, they just didn't -- sorry, it's
hard to look at this and --

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: (Laughing) My
fault.

MR. KIMBALL: It was a name change. You know when Phillip Morris became Altreia (ph) -UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I mean do you personally know whether an attorney filed papers to change the name of an existing company at that time or filed new LLC papers?

MR. KIMBALL: We created a new LLC, changed the payroll, the 401 K , and there is documents in there somewhere. I've never looked at them.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: But you think it got a different EIN back then, Employer Identification Number.

MR. KIMBALL: Of course.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: All right.
MR. KIMBALL: I don't know if I'm
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```

answering your question correctly.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Did Glacier pay
any amount of money to LTS to assume all of these
agreements or responsibilities?
MR. KIMBALL: No.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: If I could follow
up on a topic yesterday, two steps back before get
away from it too much. If I was following this
correctly, all of the bank accounts of what was by
the name I guess Glacier, would that be right? The
LTS bank accounts were transferred to Glacier as
well?
MR. KIMBALL: No. LTS maintained
bank accounts as the Glacier.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: But the Glacier,
I'm sorry.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Go ahead.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: But the Glacier
accounts were not at Core First.
MR. KIMBALL: Every bank account was
at Core First.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: We have a
document here from Five Star Bank --
MR. KIMBALL: That was after
Operation Chokepoint. We are talking pre-Operation

```

Chokepoint.
```

    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I'm with you now.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay, let me then
    focus in on that fateful day. Okay, so Glacier
    accounts are there at Core First --
    MR. KIMBALL: Every --
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: LTS and every
    account associated with those lending companies is
    all the same bank.
    MR. KIMBALL: All the US Delaware LLC
    accounts including Glacier, LTS, were at Core First.
    We had accounts in the Isle of Man and Farclase (ph)
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. So
CoreFirst, you said closed the accounts. They
closed, you said, hundreds of accounts all in the
same day?
MR. KIMBALL: I don't know how many
accounts it was, it was significant.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay, but it was
every one that was there?
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: All in the same
day?
MR. KIMBALL: Exactly.

```
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UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And that day was what day?

MR. KIMBALL: I don't have the letter on me, but \(I\) believe it was mid September 2013.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. And on that day when they closed the accounts, did they say, you know to the account holders in some way that you Mr. Account Holder either owe us money or we owe you money, here's your check.

MR. KIMBALL: I'm not sure I understand your question.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Well, banks don't normally steal the depositors or --

MR. KIMBALL: Not normally but they do a lot of --

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Or accept a loss on their own, okay. They'll usually say if they close an account, it either has a balance of money owed to the depositor or maybe there has been an insufficient check or something and they are owed money. One way or another they let their account holders know whether one owes money or the other does, or whether for some reason they just came out at zero. Did CoreFirst give any notice on that day, on certain accounts owed balances to the depositors
or on certain depositors owed them additional money?
    MR. KIMBALL: I don't recall, but we,
    I recall that we had to, because of the way the ACH
    system works, \(I\) don't know if you're familiar with
    that, but its called the Automatic Clearing House,
which is how we received our payments.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay.
    MR. KIMBALL: We had to have a
reserve account at CoreFirst for a certain amount of
time because they have in the banking laws, there is
a sixty day window that people can revoke a payment
and they have to replace that payment. So we had to
put a reserve account, and I can't remember what
account that was, but the majority of the accounts on
the day they were closed, the money was either, there
was either no money or it may have -- I don't
remember because I mean you're talking about total
devastation. We got all -- most of the accounts I
think had no money in them because they had not
allowed us to continue to process even though the
account was open, but they just gave us a date that
this is the day that your accounts are going to be --
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And that's
because of that Chokepoint had happened earlier --
    MR. KIMBALL: Originally, ordinally
```

    the, the president of the bank had called me, not to
    toot my own horn, but typically when you have a
    hundred accounts you're getting calls from the
    president of the bank and had given me a four-month
    window to wind down the relationship with the bank.
    Two weeks later, he gave me one day and I said, you
    can't give me one day. There is no way I can, you
    know, we've been operating together for five years
    and so he gave me one week, and to be quite honest I
    would have to go back and recollect because it was
    quite a bit of shock. You know, this, you know
    fifteen business have been in business for thirteen
    years and --
                        UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And was it the
        effect of Chokepoint that CoreFirst, that other banks
        weren't all standing by wanting to take those types
        of accounts and you couldn't just go to the next bank
        and --
            MR. KIMBALL: I have a letter from
        CoreFirst that, Mr. Pelofsky has seen it, shows they
        shut down our accounts because of Operation
        Chokehold.
            UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. And if, if
        those accounts by that time, a few months after
        Operation Chokehold, and had some little money, okay,
    ```
what by and large happened to the accounts that were up to point of Chokepoint running successfully. What happened to the money in those accounts?

MR. KIMBALL: There was a period when we had no bank accounts. So I would have to go back and look at that October to December period to see what happened to it. We tried to get our bank to process the Isle of Man loans in Canada to take over the processing but they refused and we got a, we snuck a few in quite honestly off the record, but for the most point they just vaporized. So as far as the money goes, I would have to go look because I couldn't tell you, there were so many accounts.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay.
MR. KIMBALL: But in this, it's kind of like that game, musical chairs. As soon as the music stops and this type of borrower knew that nobody was going to process their payments any more, it was like a mad dash. They all just disappeared. UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Meaning that the enduser guys on the Internet who wished to make small micro --

MR. KIMBALL: People who had existing balances with us, if you don't, if they think on Friday that fifty dollars is going to be taken out of
```

    their bank, it doesn't happen, they instantly revoke
    the authorization or they read it in the paper. I
    mean there is hundreds and hundreds of blogs out
there about how to get out of your loans.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay, so at the
very retail level of where the guy is actually making
the small, he is borrowing micro amounts of money, he
just quits paying.
MR. PELOFSKY: As I understand it,
they never voluntarily paid anything.
MR. KIMBALL: No, we had --
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: They were taking
out of their bank accounts.
MR. PELOFSKY: Yeah, it was --
MR. KIMBALL: They signed over
authorization for us to debt their bank account,
otherwise we would not give them a loan.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So right up to
Operation Checkpoint, these retailer borrowers had
not heard that it's okay just to stop payment or
whatever.
MR. KIMBALL: Thirty percent of them
for thirteen years stopped paying --
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: (Laughing), okay,
okay. But even with that kind of failure rate, you

```
```

were still able to issue promissory notes with very
high interest rates, pay twenty percent, you know,
fees to LTS.
MR. KIMBALL: Under Federal Trade
Commission law we had to disclose the equivalent
interest rate to the fee of the 732%.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So if there is a
day before Chokepoint that LTS accounts, Glacier
accounts, lending company accounts, as we see the
names of so many LLCs on that list that they all have
healthy bank accounts going and that the twenty
percent fees are still being paid because business is
going to, when did that start to come apart? When
did the investments, the promissory notes, the LLCs
street money, maybe that's the right term, you had a
certain amount of money on the street, when did that
amount of money on the street start contracting?
MR. KIMBALL: When the bank account
was closed at CoreFirst, the majority of the money
disappeared within that two week period of time.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay, so when
CoreFirst closed the bank account, you still had
serious money on the streets --
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: When they closed

```
them.
```

            MR. KIMBALL: And then what we tried
    to transfer to the Canadian bank that processed the
    Isle of Man, they closed our account in October. We
will have our employees because we are under the
impression from our Lobbyist that they had a work
around this problem, there was a solution. We were
getting calls daily by what they call third party
processing companies. Those would be companies that
would, let's just say Mr. Cazaar (ph) owned the bank.
They would hire somebody to go out and sell the bank
services for them, one of them being processing.
Hundreds of these companies sprang out of into
existence in September and October and were calling
me or my operations manager, John Kimball, saying we
can process your payments. We must have filled out,
I think we filled out ninety-five applications in one
month and those companies would last maybe a week and
so we were attempting to recover whatever we could
that was on the street, whether it was, the loan was
made out of Kansas City or the Isle of Man, but this
type of borrower given any circumstance of not having
to pay will disappear very quickly.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay.
MR. KIMBALL: So you're trying to

```
```

figure what were the bank balances on the day we
closed, I don't know I would have to go look and I
don't know where we put them, because at one point we
had no bank accounts.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. Let me see
if my notes basically are good enough. August of
2 0 1 3 ~ i s ~ c h o k e p o i n t , ~ c o r r e c t ? ~
MR. KIMBALL: That's when it was
announced.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. September,
October 2013, by then you're already dealing with a
sudden and brand new industry of third party payment
processors.
MR. KIMBALL: Well, once all the
banks was, it was a domino effect. For example,
Missouri Bank of Trust, a well-known bank here in
town was on the list of banks filed against, by the
Department of Justice. The New York Financial Crimes
Commission and the FDIC. So any other bank in Kansas
City instantly went into panic mode.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay.
MR. KIMBALL: So CoreFirst was not on
that list. We were there, the sole online lending
customer of theirs. They didn't have anybody else.
They're FDIC typically, FDIC audits as I understand

```
```

    it are in April and September and they had been told
    in April, they knew about it in April. We know now,
    we didn't know then.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay.
MR. KIMBALL: It was not a quiet nice
parting, like hey Del, thanks for the millions of
dollars you made for us for the years, if you could
get out of here by the end of the year, that'd be
great. It was like, it started out that way, but
then somebody pushed a button and said you got one
week, you have one day, and then they gave us a week.
So I'll have to tell you because I don't remember,
because at one point I believe that whatever funds
were available we tried to send to the Bank of Canada
and the Isle of Man. The Isle of Man is probably the
most highly regulated country in the world and they
rejected all of our efforts to do this, so I can't
tell you accurately, but I'll find out.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So again without
exactly precise dates, only about a week before they
actually close the accounts were they suddenly saying
this is coming down fast and they're given one day.
MR. KIMBALL: I was given four
months.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay.

```

MR. KIMBALL: Two weeks later I was called and given one day.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay.
MR. KIMBALL: I begged for a month and they gave me a week.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So the approximate final day they actually closed those accounts at CoreFirst was --

MR. KIMBALL: Mid-September.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Mid-September. By the time that those accounts were closed, were you already hearing from third party payment processors or did you start hearing from them immediately after that closure.

MR. KIMBALL: At the time, \(I\) was in London when the CoreFirst called me, trying to obtain financing for the Russian company from Jeffries and Company which is a large investment bank in the states so I was kind of caught off guard. So I was not in Kansas City and \(I\) don't know who was calling who, but \(I\) know that by the time \(I\) got back that, like I said, we filed ninety-five plus applications for processing, and \(I\) don't know how many, if any we got.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay, and in
```

between the time of the August 2013 announcement and
the mid-September account closings, did you already
have investors or lenders associated with the
companies we have seen on your list. Were they
already in some retreat mode, and some form of
nervousness, starting to withdraw monies or to move
away?
MR. KIMBALL: No. We, because I was
busy working on what I thought was a potential IPO or
merger through Jeffries, we asked Mr. John Mullen
(ph), I gave him a list of investors and also some
investors and everybody knew that Kansas City is the
epicenter of online lending. We all informed
everybody that we were not going to make payments
until we knew what was going on because we didn't
know what was going on. We were getting news from one
side saying that the banks will be back in business
in two weeks and then it was on the other side that
everybody is going to jail. So we didn't know what
to think and so I called as many people as I could,
but you have to understand with the exception of
let's just say Brendon's clients, some of his clients
I knew personally and some of them I didn't know at
all, but most of the people I knew personally, so I
just made a bunch of phone calls. Everybody in

```
```

Kansas City knew what was going on and said, hey we
got to figure this out. We don't know what the heck
is going on. Just sit tight until we figure it out
and it never was able to get figured out
unfortunately.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: How did the
(inaudible) like this take (inaudible) as an example,
how are they making money? Were they getting like a
processing fee for ACH transactions?
MR. KIMBALL: ACH, they got eight
cents for a debit and a credit. I'm glad you brought
this up because this is very interesting. And they
got sixty-five cents for return, and a return means
an insufficient fund or an authorization revoked or
closed bank account. So when you're talking about
hundreds of thousands of processing payments over a
quarter or a month, it's a lot of money. The going
rate for these new processors was four dollars a
debit and credit and six to eight dollars a return,
so it went from eight cents to four dollars, and from
sixty-five cents to seven to ten dollars.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So at CoreFirst,
if you wanted to make the two hundred dollar loan or
whatever the dollar amount it was going to be eight
cents to give it to them.

```
```

    MR. KIMBALL: To send you two hundred
    dollars it cost eight cents.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: To get fifty
bucks or try to get fifty bucks back.
MR. KIMBALL: Yes. And so if that
payment was returned, I paid eight cents and then
sixty-five cents on top of it.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And I want to say
sometime in 2011, the banking relationship for the
LTS group of companies changed from Missouri Bank to
CoreFirst Bank?
MR. KIMBALL: At first, we spilt,
each bank had a dual account, so let's just take
Camden that we were using earlier, the Camden
Missouri Bank and then CoreFirst. CoreFirst gave us
the mortgage on our building and part of the
understanding of that mortgage is that we would
migrate the ACH or processing side of the operations
to them because it's very lucrative. Under, to be a
Federally Chartered bank you have to have this
service in your bank whether you want it or not.
Most banks do utilize it and use it as a profit
center. So they had already spent the money on the
software and the personnel, so we made them a lot of
money. Missouri Bank for example, was a very large

```
```

processing bank for this industry. We essentially
taught them how to do it in 2001 and we were their
only customer until they decided to bring on other
customers.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: When you said
that CoreFirst Bank made the loan on the building --
MR. KIMBALL: 908 Baltimore.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: 908 Baltimore, so
was 908 Baltimore at one point titled in LTS
Management Services?
MR. KIMBALL: No. It was a Federal
tax partnership with Chevron Oil.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Condo unit.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah.
MR. KIMBALL: We used to store
Federal Tax credits with Chevron Oil and the entity
called 107 West 9th Street and then the building
loan, I believe, was at }110\mathrm{ West 9th Street and that
was where the mortgage was held and we got State and
Federal Tax Credits which subsequently the IRS took
away from us.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So who was the
party to the condo? A separate entity.
MR. KIMBALL: A separate entity
called 107 West 9th Street. The tenant was LTS

```
```

Management. The partner was Chevron Oil through
their Tax Credit Department in St. Louis represented
by Armstrong Teesdale (ph) and I can give you that
information.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So looking, if I
could go with the schedules, do these schedules that
you have filed, do they look true and accurate to the
best of your knowledge and belief?
MR. KIMBALL: Uh, uh, after the brief
glance at, that everything seems very familiar.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. If we
could, let's kind of work through them if we can.
Question two, talks about that LTS Management LLC had
received ten million, three hundred sixty-five
thousand, seven hundred and fifty-six dollars of
income for business operations in 2013. Was the tax
return prepared for that?
MR. KIMBALL: I'm sure there was.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay, who would
prepare the taxes?
MR. KIMBALL: All the tax returns
were prepared by Russ Coka (ph).
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. And --
MR. PELOFSKY: You don't have this?
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I do not.

```
```

get one. UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay.
And question two also says that there was no income
for 2014. I am a little confused because I thought
yesterday there was some indication that there was
some income in 2014 for LTS Management.
MR. KIMBALL: I have not seen the tax
return so I can't accurately answer that question.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: What was your
title with LTS Management?
MR. KIMBALL: Managing member.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And then I heard
that Mr. Furseth referred to himself as president.
MR. KIMBALL: Furseth.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Furseth, I'm
sorry. Referred to him as president, was that kind
of a (inaudible), he was also a managing member?
MR. KIMBALL: Well, to be quite
honest if it was a Sub-S Corporation I would not be
managing that but I would then be CEO.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah.
MR. KIMBALL: I can't remember if LTS
is an LLC or Sub S.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I think it is an
LLC according to the schedules.

```

MR. KIMBALL: If it's an LLC, I was a
managing member. Sam would be a member. President was a fictional title for the employees.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay.
MR. KIMBALL: Just like my title is
CEO because there is no CEOs in (inaudible).
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Is the operating agreement and the articles of organization available for LTS management?

MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. And was that formed by Mr. Mullen?

MR. KIMBALL: It was already, we
formed it ourselves in 1999. It was amended from
Laptop Shop to LTS and I believe the, Ms. Stanton was in 2004, was that operating agreement that \(I\) sent to you when we amended it?

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: I don't remember off the top of my head but --

MR. KIMBALL: I believe it was 2004 we officially became, you have to remember sir that for, until 2003, we didn't have an employee, so we were just entrepreneurs and formalized documents and to the excess, but \(I\) do have a formal amended operating agreement that \(I\) am happy to send to you.
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UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Right. And then
there was either a name change to Glacier Management
Services.
    MR. KIMBALL: Yeah, I'll find those,
    I'll find those documents for you.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And you have
    answered in regards to payments to creditors that
    there were no payments to creditors within ninety
    days before the commencement of the case and the case
    commenced August 5, 2015.
    MR. KIMBALL: Not to my knowledge.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. And at
    that point on August 5, 2015, the bank account, was
    there a bank account for LTS Management Services,
    LLC, or was it in the name of Glacier Management
    Services?
    MR. KIMBALL: There was (inaudible)
    for both.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And where was the
    bank account then --
    MR. KIMBALL: Five Star Bank.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: For both, for
        both LTS Management and Glacier?
    MR. KIMBALL: Yes. Happy to provide
    opening to August bank statements, if you don't have
    them already.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: All right. What
was the relationship with Agile Fish and LTS
Management Services?
    MR. KIMBALL: Agile Fish is the
    company that when we closed Glacier Management, we
had, Buscher (ph) Management had an ongoing
relationship with several Native American tribes that
was generating some revenue, but Glacier Management
was not able to sustain that relationship. Agile
    Fish basically took over that relationship and hired
    the employees, the few remaining employees that were
    left at Glacier Management and mostly to maintain the
    DMS software and then have a way to support the
version of the VMS software that was converted to, to
    the Russian business.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And, and the VMS
    software was an asset of LTS --
    MR. KIMBALL: No.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Management
    Services.
    MR. KIMBALL: It was owned by DMS
Marketing.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And then under
    what authority could LTS Management Services use that
```

software? Permissively, just permissively?
MR. KIMBALL: Permissively yeah.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: No fee was paid?
MR. KIMBALL: It was common, it was
common, the owners were all the same.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: The owners being
Mr. Furseth --
MR. KIMBALL: Furseth and myself.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And yourself.
MR. KIMBALL: You have to understand,
when this was all occurring in the early 2000s, the
formalization of agreements and, I don't even think
we had an attorney until (Laughing) until 2004, so.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And so did DMS
have any employees?
MR. KIMBALL: I don't recall.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And so when there
were software changes or other patches or fixes due
to the software, LTS was advancing the moneys for
those changes?
MR. KIMBALL: I'm not sure I
understand your question, but if you're asking if we
modified the software, who did that, they were
employees of LTS Management and then later Glacier
Management.

```
```

    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Did DMS file a
    tax return?
    MR. KIMBALL: I believe so.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And what was the
    revenue that DMS had?
    MR. KIMBALL: I don't know, its been
    a long time.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Again, that's
    another question for Mr. Coka.
    MR. KIMBALL: Yes. I don't know if
    his records go back that far.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay.
    MR. KIMBALL: At one point he was
    with Grant Thornton and that might have been when he
    was with Grant Thornton before he went out and
    created his own --
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Well, let me ask
    this question, what the last time that entity had
    revenue?
    MR. KIMBALL: I don't know.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Was there any
    revenue in the last three years?
    MR. KIMBALL: I don't believe so.
    The last two years we've been out of business, so.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I understand,
    ```
```

well in 2013 was there any revenue that was booked as
attributable to DMS?
MR. KIMBALL: I don't believe so.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Again, I'm
looking at the Statement of Financial Affairs which
you had briefly reviewed. There are a number of
lawsuits that were shown in question number four.
One was Sirius Partners LLC which I believe Mr.
Malone's, is one of Mr. Malone's clients and there is
also Kember, K-E-M-B-E-R, or was that Kemper?
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Kemper Marketing?
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Kemper, yeah.
Its here as Kember, K-E-M-B-E-R. Kemper Marketing.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Was a co-
dependent.

```
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Co-dependent and
    the date and the ventures, and then Mr. Kimball. My
    sense of this is Sirius Partners LLC had loaned money
    to LTS Management Services, is that the basis of the
    lawsuit?
            MR. KIMBALL: The lawsuit, I believe,
was against Dayton (ph) and Kemper, and there was a
partial personal guarantee of myself on one of the
    promissory notes.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Then, and Dayton
```

    and Kemper are one of these entities that are either
    identified or --
    MR. KIMBALL: I didn't look for those
    specifically but they should be on there, if they are
    not we can find them.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And what I and
what I am referring to is Doc 82-1 from your personal
bankruptcy schedules which show these and by the way,
these particular entities that we are talking about,
and we have been using Richmond as an example.
Richmond had a certain tranche of loans, of these
microloans associated with it, did they not?
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And how were those
tranches of loans allocated to it? On kind of a day
by day basis?

```
    MR. KIMBALL: Day by day basis.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And so there is,
were each of these entities, like Richmond, and
Noble, and Maple, did they have the exact same
funding either through loans or equity or did they
vary between them?
    MR. KIMBALL: You talking about the
funding, how did they get money to?
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Well, I just want
```

    to know for purposes of how you did this business.
    ```
    to know for purposes of how you did this business.
    MR. KIMBALL: Are you talking about
    MR. KIMBALL: Are you talking about
    when we lent bank to the customer or the investor --
    when we lent bank to the customer or the investor --
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: The investor end
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: The investor end
    first. So, the investor, what was the typical amount
    first. So, the investor, what was the typical amount
    of funding per, if I can call these a special entity
    of funding per, if I can call these a special entity
    or special purpose entity, how much money would be
    or special purpose entity, how much money would be
    allocated per entity from the investor or its lender?
    allocated per entity from the investor or its lender?
    Was it a uniform amount per each or did it vary?
    Was it a uniform amount per each or did it vary?
    MR. KIMBALL: They all varied.
    MR. KIMBALL: They all varied.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: My questions is
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: My questions is
    did you utilize a business model and I think you may
    did you utilize a business model and I think you may
    have answered this but I just want to get it straight
    have answered this but I just want to get it straight
    in my mind, but it wasn't like each of these entities
    in my mind, but it wasn't like each of these entities
    was funded with five hundred thousand dollars.
    was funded with five hundred thousand dollars.
    MR. KIMBALL: They are all various
    MR. KIMBALL: They are all various
    amounts.
    amounts.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And why various
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And why various
    amounts? Because there was an infinity between the
    amounts? Because there was an infinity between the
    investors or the lenders that determined?
    investors or the lenders that determined?
    MR. KIMBALL: There was no
    MR. KIMBALL: There was no
    determination. The investor, the potential investor
    determination. The investor, the potential investor
    typically would call me or I knew them and we'd go
    typically would call me or I knew them and we'd go
    have a beer or something, and they'd say, hey Del I
    have a beer or something, and they'd say, hey Del I
    got seven hundred thousand dollars that I want to
```

    got seven hundred thousand dollars that I want to
    ```
```

invest. So I say, we drop a promissory note, make an

```
invest. So I say, we drop a promissory note, make an
    amortization schedule, create an LLC, put the money
    amortization schedule, create an LLC, put the money
    in the LLC, and start doing business.
    in the LLC, and start doing business.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And those
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And those
    promissory notes were typically like twenty to
    promissory notes were typically like twenty to
    thirty-five percent or?
    thirty-five percent or?
    MR. KIMBALL: They varied. We tried
    MR. KIMBALL: They varied. We tried
    to keep them uniform but Kansas City as I said was
    to keep them uniform but Kansas City as I said was
    the epicenter of the lending market and so many times
    the epicenter of the lending market and so many times
    the individual would say, well I can go to Bill over
    the individual would say, well I can go to Bill over
    here and he will give me thirty-seven percent when I
    here and he will give me thirty-seven percent when I
    am offering him thirty, so go to Bill or we would
    am offering him thirty, so go to Bill or we would
    negotiate some amount in between. So I tried to keep
    negotiate some amount in between. So I tried to keep
    it uniform at thirty percent but it didn't work
    it uniform at thirty percent but it didn't work
    because of the competition and in the city there was
    because of the competition and in the city there was
    easily, easily fifty, sixty companies doing exactly
    easily, easily fifty, sixty companies doing exactly
    what I was doing but I was considered one of the
    what I was doing but I was considered one of the
    largest overall, just the number of entities and the
    largest overall, just the number of entities and the
    most consistent.
    most consistent.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay, on the flip
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay, on the flip
    side, when you made an individual loan to a consumer,
    side, when you made an individual loan to a consumer,
    how is that determination made as to what the
    how is that determination made as to what the
    electing entity was for that individual --
    electing entity was for that individual --
    MR. KIMBALL: So on a given day,
    MR. KIMBALL: So on a given day,
    let's say on today we made three hundred thousand
```

    let's say on today we made three hundred thousand
    ```
```

    dollars' worth of loans. We would look at the fifty
    entities that had available capital and let's just
    use Richmond, like we said if Richmond had an
    investment and let's say they were way below their
    investment level, they may get a larger allocation
    than normal but what we typically try to do is just
    allocate it evenly based upon the cash available in
    each account, but for simplicity, we wouldn't make,
    all fifty companies on one day would not get loans,
    it would be just an accounting nightmare and the bank
    would go crazy because that would mean you have to
    send fifty different files. So on a typical day, say
    half of the companies would get allocated and then
    the next day we would allocate the next half and it
    was basically based upon available cash in the
    account versus portfolio balance, versus whether or
    not they paid their management fees for the month.
    There is a bunch of different factors but they were
    not treated by like, oh let's give, they didn't use
    the Sam and Del loan, they, they, the highest credit
    score guys, it was, it was --
                            UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Was this all done
        on a manual basis by somebody in accounting.
                        MR. KIMBALL: Unfortunately that
        process had to be done manually. The software was
    ```
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not sophisticated enough to do that.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So somebody on a
day to day basis in your accounting, in LTS
accounting department and then I guess later
Glacier's accounting department, day to day
allocation of the --
MR. KIMBALL: Yeah and some poor
person at Missouri Bank had to work until eleven
o'clock every night because we were allowed to send a
file up to ten o'clock at night, files. And for each
entity, we would have to send a credit file and a
debit file, and you can only imagine when you have
fifty, we'll just say fifty, that's a hundred
different files every single day that they have to
drop into their software system and our software
systems don't communicate.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: When you made a
consumer loan to individual consumer, was there a
written documentation on that one?
MR. KIMBALL: Yes. There is a loan
agreement.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay, so who was
the, who was the obligor or obligated to pay to? Was
it LTS?
MR. KIMBALL: It would be, Richmond

```
would be (inaudible).

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So when they, at the time that the two hundred loan was made and that note was executed, it would have already been determined that Richmond would make --

MR. KIMBALL: No. When the borrower was approved, we used a generic name for all the companies called the Loan Shop. Again, LTS. And that was the generic name as we were known on the Internet. Then when they got the deposit into their bank account, it would say the Loan Shop/Richmond Marketing and it would have the number of the bank, if they had a question. That's a, part of the Patriot Act.
```

                        UNIDENTIFIED MALE: But the
    promissory note itself that the individual borrower -
MR. KIMBALL: Would say Richmond
Marketing. They would get that in the email that
next day. They would do an e-signature of a
promissory note and then we would sign that note that
night and they would get the version and it was kind
of a, they would get a package.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So you altered
the note after the borrower signed it.

```

MR. KIMBALL: No, we didn't alter the
```

    note.
    ```

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So when the
borrower e-signed it, it would have to be a completed
document would it not?
    MR. KIMBALL: It was, well, I don't
know if I'm understanding.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: We can take a
    little recess.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Maybe he should
    answer. There is a question on --
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Well, I have a
question on the record and \(I\) would like to get his
best --
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Then he should
probably answer then.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: His best
understanding.
    MR. PELOFSKY: Well, that's what I am
going to talk to him about.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Well, I think I
want his answer and then if he wants to correct the
record after you talk to him he can do that.
    MR. KIMBALL: The timing of the e-
    signature and the assignment, \(I\) don't know exactly
how that corresponded.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Have you ever
seen, well, okay - (inaudible - multiple people speaking).

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: We can off the record, Ed?
(Recording N-4936-002 ended)
(Start of recording M-4936-003)
MR. PELOFSKY: Got a little problem here now.

MR. KIMBALL: All right.
MR. PELOFSKY: We have a plaintiff in adversary complaint who is represented at this table and has been asking questions and I think that is suing Mr. Kimball personally.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: There is an abstract complaint on file for non-discharge of the same debt.

MR. PELOFSKY: All right, then \(I\) am going to object on behalf of Mr. Kimball as his attorney as to any other questions that are asked by the council.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: This is a
```

separate proceeding, in a separate case in which Mr.
Kimball has been designated as the corporate
representative.
MR. PELOFSKY: Well, I understand
that, but I am not certain because of the, the breath
of this conversation seems to me is well beyond just
a 341 examination where council for a creditor is
limited to the amount of inquiry he can make.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: What do you think
Mr. Pelofsky --
MR. PELOFSKY: And I am asking the
Trustee if Mr. Maloney continues to ask questions
that they be restricted to the LTS activity and not
anything else.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I don't think I
would object to that --
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I think all of
the - Joel, I think that all of the questions that
have been asked have been related to the activities
of LTS.
MR. PELOFSKY: Well, I don't, I'm not
confident that I could say that with any certainty
since we have talked about the entire operation of
the entire business.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Which I think is

```
```

directly relevant to, the question, the line of
questioning that I have been asking him is to try and
determine who might be the proper party to enforce
the receivables that you have scheduled on Schedule B
as an asset of this bankruptcy estate. So if you
have an objection to an individual question that
somebody might ask, you can state your objection and
then you can advise your client however you wish to
advise him and if he declines the answer, then we can
ask the court to enforce --
MR. PELOFSKY: It becomes extremely
awkward since I am here only as his personal
attorney.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I understand.
MR. PELOFSKY: all right.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So, can I
continue my --
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So the question I
had asked you before we took the break was, trying to
determine as I have just indicated, who is the proper
party on the note, and whether the notes were, I
guess, properly signed. So my question was, when the
borrower who got an individual loan that was marketed
by LTS saw the promissory note and was asked to sign

```
```

a promissory note, how is it determined who the
creditor on that promissory note was?
MR. KIMBALL: It was not marketed by
LTS.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. Well, who
marketed --
MR. KIMBALL: Edata Solutions
provided all of our applications.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay, well
somebody would send them a promissory note to sign is
that correct?
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Where they sign a
promissory, were they sent a promissory note to sign
prior to or after LTS or one of the affiliated
companies sent them money?
MR. KIMBALL: They were sent a
promissory note before they got the money. They were
fully aware that the note was for LT, from the Loan
Shop or affiliated entity, we had to have this
completely vented by the bank and we were audited
every single quarter by the FDIC on our procedures of
how we made our loans. So the customer is fully
aware that when they took a loan out and they signed
the promissory note that the Loan Shop or one of its

```
```

affiliated entities would be giving them the loan.

```
affiliated entities would be giving them the loan.
Having them sign those signs was arbitrary like I
Having them sign those signs was arbitrary like I
told you based upon cash flow and various factors.
told you based upon cash flow and various factors.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: That assignment
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: That assignment
    occurred after the loan was made or before the loan
    occurred after the loan was made or before the loan
    was made?
    was made?
    MR. KIMBALL: Before the loan was
    MR. KIMBALL: Before the loan was
    made.
    made.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Was it, was that
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Was it, was that
assignment before or after the customer executed the
assignment before or after the customer executed the
promissory note?
promissory note?
    MR. KIMBALL: The assignment, the
    MR. KIMBALL: The assignment, the
customer is made aware during the conversation
customer is made aware during the conversation
because the part of the process of making the loan
because the part of the process of making the loan
was a phone call to the customer that the loan would
was a phone call to the customer that the loan would
be from one of the affiliated entities of the Loan
be from one of the affiliated entities of the Loan
Shop.
Shop.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: But you have an
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: But you have an
enforceable promissory note?
enforceable promissory note?
    MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
    MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And when the
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And when the
customer executed that promissory note, who was
customer executed that promissory note, who was
designated as the creditor?
designated as the creditor?
    MR. KIMBALL: It was designated that
    MR. KIMBALL: It was designated that
    day, before they got the money.
```

    day, before they got the money.
    ```

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: When the, that's not, \(I\) don't think that's responsive to the question I am asking you. So the borrower would see --

MR. KIMBALL: Well, that's the answer to the question.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Well, the
borrower would see a promissory note that they would
have to execute, correct? If it's electronically,
well let me ask this question. These were all
electronically executed, correct?
    MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So the borrower
    in order to execute the note, would have to see the
note, a copy of the note or be provided a copy of the
note at that time.

MR. KIMBALL: Right.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: On the copy of
the note that the borrower was provided to execute,
who was designated as the creditor who was making the
loan?

MR. KIMBALL: The Loan Shop or an
assignee.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. So was the Loan Shop a DBA for entity?

MR. KIMBALL: The Loan Shop was a DBA
```

for all these entities.

```
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Well, somebody
would have to legally be the creditor, so who was the
    creditor at the time the promissory note was
    executed, because the Loan Shop as I understand it
doesn't exist. There is no entity named the Loan
    Shop, is that correct?
    MR. KIMBALL: The Loan Shop was the
    DBA and the affiliated entities, all the, they were
    told at the time of the signing that the assignment
    would be to an affiliated entity of the Loan Shop.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: How were they
    told?
    MR. KIMBALL: Over the phone.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: By whom?
    MR. KIMBALL: One of our call center
    agents.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So before any
promissory notes were executed, a hundred percent of
the clients received --
    MR. KIMBALL: One hundred percent.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And they were
    told that somebody affiliated with the Loan Shop
would be --
    MR. KIMBALL: Yes. This is a process
```

that we had to get approved by all the banking
entities to make sure that we weren't breaking any
banking rules.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: But the entity
itself was not designated on the promissory note that
was actually signed by the --
MR. KIMBALL: Yes it was. That,
later that, the same day.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So by the time
the customer executed it, that promissory note had
already been allocated.
MR. KIMBALL: That time during the
day, yes sometime during that day.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So by the time
the borrower went in and said, I accept this
promissory note, that line was filled out with the
name of the send --
MR. KIMBALL: I don't know exact, the
exact timing of when that happened, but they were
done with, there was a signee of the Loan Shop.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Where are all the
records that would have all of these notes?
MR. KIMBALL: Those records don't
exist.

```
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Where were they
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    housed?

MR. KIMBALL: They were housed on our server.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Where was that server located at the time the notes were executed?

MR. KIMBALL: Well, the last place was 908 Baltimore.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: What happened to
    that server?

MR. KIMBALL: That server, I don't know where it is.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So you --
MR. KIMBALL: We had a data policy rule of two years of data retention. So every two months, two years went by, the data was deleted from the system for privacy policy.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: As I understand it, at some point after 2013, LTS or somebody acted on behalf of LTS sold some of these receivables, is that correct?

MR. KIMBALL: LTS didn't sell any receivables.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Well, somebody sold the receivables.

MR. KIMBALL: Yes, but they weren't
```

receivables of LTS.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Right, I
understand. So, but what I said is somebody sold
those receivables, correct?
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Was a hundred
percent of the receivables left sold?
MR. KIMBALL: No.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: How was it
determined what receivables were sold?
MR. KIMBALL: I don't know.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Who sold the
receivables?
MR. KIMBALL: My operations manager
went and looked at the file of written off loans and
the, how he determined what he put in that file, I
have no idea.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: There was one bulk
sale was that correct?
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Who was the
purchaser of the bulk sale?
MR. KIMBALL: I believe it's called
the UVH.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Do you know when

```
that bulk sale occurred?
    MR. KIMBALL: I believe in January or
February 2014.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And do you recall
what the purchase price of the portfolio was?
    MR. KIMBALL: As I said yesterday, I
    think it was between eight hundred and nine hundred
    thousand dollars.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And where did that
eight hundred or nine hundred thousand dollars go?
    MR. KIMBALL: I don't know. That's
what \(I^{\prime}\) ve been looking. I can't seem to find it on
any of the bank accounts.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So you don't know
where that eight hundred or nine hundred thousand
dollars was deposited?
    MR. KIMBALL: I said I don't know
where it went. I'm looking for it.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Who facilitated
that sale? Who were the individuals who were
involved in the sale?
    MR. KIMBALL: This gentleman from the
    collection agency called me and asked me if \(I\) had any
thing I wanted to sell. At that point, we were in a
panic mode and didn't know if we were going to be
```

able to make it. It was a day-by-day process. As I
think I said yesterday, I can't remember, I think we
got somewhere around a penny or less than a penny on
the dollar, the typical rate prior to operation
Chokepoint was nine to fifteen cents on the dollar of
written off receivable.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And so you were
the individual who was representing the seller in
that transaction?
MR. KIMBALL: I talked to the
collection agency, and then I referred him to John
Kimball, my operations manager. I have no accument
or ability to gather that data out of our data base
and he made the determination after talking with this
individual what would be acceptable to, that they
would be interested in purchasing. There was a lot
of things that they would not be interested in
purchasing.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And do you recall
the name of the individual who you were negotiating -
-
MR. KIMBALL: Tyler Johnson (ph).
Tyler Johnson.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Tyra Johnson.
MR. KIMBALL: Tyler.

```

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Tyler Johnson, I'm sorry.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: With UVH?
MR. KIMBALL: Yeah. I will have to double check the name, its been a while. That and since \(I\) can't bring my cell phone in, I can't (laughing) go back and look.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And had you done business with Mr. Johnson before?

MR. KIMBALL: No.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And where is UVH located?

MR. KIMBALL: As far as \(I\) was led to believe, they were in Colorado but since then \(I\) have also been led to believe that they might have been in Buffalo, New York, which for some reason is the epicenter of collection activity.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: You value, and one of the reasons I am asking all these questions is because in the Schedule B that was filed for LTS, there was an assertion made that LTS is the owner of receivables totalling a little over three million dollars. So how is that number derived?

MR. KIMBALL: I don't know.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Well, you said you
```

were familiar with these Schedules and I'm on Line 16
of Schedule B, there was an assertion made that LTS
is the owner of receivables totalling three million,
eighty thousand, six hundred and two dollars and
seventy-four cents.
MR. KIMBALL: It's probably from the
accounts payable and receivable between intercompany
transfers that was given to Mr. Pelofsky.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So those are
receivables not from consumers, but receivables from
other affiliated businesses?
MR. KIMBALL: Exactly.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: But LTS owned on
the date that the bankruptcy was filed, whether or
not they are collectable, some portfolio of ones that
has never been collected.
MR. KIMBALL: I don't believe so.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So you believe all
the outstanding obligations of LTS are sold, I'm
sorry --
MR. KIMBALL: You mean the customer -
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: All the --
MR. KIMBALL: Loans --
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: All the customer

```
```

    loans have been sold.
    MR. KIMBALL: They were not sold
    because those were not LTS loans.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Well you believe
    that, did all of the entities sell, did the entities
    own any of the loans still?
    MR. KIMBALL: Those are the entities
    loans. They were not LTS's loans.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Well, what I'm
    asking is on, in August of 2015 when this involuntary
    was filed, did any of those entities (inaudible)
    loans that were still outstanding in collateral,
    outstanding.
    MR. KIMBALL: Of the entities listed
    here?
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah.
    MR. KIMBALL: Yes. There was, but I
    don't know what the amount was or --
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And your assertion
as I understand it, is there are no records of what
those loans are anymore?
MR. KIMBALL: No probably not anymore
because of the date of retention.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Did somebody
destroy the data after the --

```
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MR. KIMBALL: Destroy is the improper word. We have a policy, an internal policy, to remove data from the database after two years because of policy of the, we had internal policies to protect the consumer data so we would remove that data after a certain amount of time.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Even after LTS stopped operating, did somebody go through and remove the data or did you exercise that policy --

MR. KIMBALL: I think the system was programmed to do it, to do it automatically, but I would have to double check. But LTS Management did not have loans.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And you don't know where the server where that, that housed all that information was? I understand that LTS did not loans.

MR. KIMBALL: The LTS server, I do not know where it is but \(I\) can find out.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Well, I think Mr. Nazaar would want to know that information.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Wasn't there testimony yesterday that there was a server that was transferred to the Indian Reservation in California.

MR. KIMBALL: It was not transferred.
```

    It was purchased brand new and given to the Indian
    Tribe.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And this is a
    different server than what we are talking about here?
    MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: The last time that
    you saw the server, where was it?
MR. KIMBALL: I don't think I ever
saw the server.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: But you understood
it was at 908 Baltimore?
MR. KIMBALL: Yes. We have quite a
sophisticated computer room but I didn't go in there
very often.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: What happened to
all the equipment that was in 908 Baltimore?
MR. KIMBALL: It's sitting in 908
Baltimore or in Midland Medals warehouse.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So it's possible
the server is sitting in the warehouse?
MR. KIMBALL: It's possible.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And Midland
Medals is Mr. Hodes's company?
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Is that correct?

```

MR. KIMBALL: He is a personal
```

friend.

```

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: First name is?
MR. KIMBALL: Vince.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Vince. So what you're suggesting to us is that there is no records that are available to attempt to collect the three million, eighty thousand dollars in receivables, is
    that --

MR. KIMBALL: Those receivables were
not customer loans.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I understand.
They were from interco - they were from --

MR. KIMBALL: Yeah, there's all
```

kinds, there are line item receivables that I give to

```
Ms. Stanton on each one of those items. Now I don't
know which line --
    MR. PELOFSKY: Yeah, let's go off the
record for a minute if we can.
    (Recording N-49361-003 ended)
    (Recording \(\mathrm{N}-49361-004\) starting)
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay, we are back on
the record.

MR. PELOFSKY: As Mr. Kimball's personal attorney, it is my recollection that there is a document that shows LTS receivables that were not part of the consumer lending business and that were not erased under any privacy program and I will furnish that to the trustee.

EDWARD NAZAAR: Thank you. Are there source documents that would assist in substantiating this because I think what you're going to give me is a compilation and not the documentation per se.

MR. PELOFSKY: I think that is a correct characterization and I'm not aware of the supporting documents.

EDWARD NAZAAR: So if I get some push back from someone in collecting a receivable, I don't have any source documents to support the debt?

MR. PELOFSKY: Well, I didn't say
that. What \(I\) said was, \(I\) don't know if there are supporting documents, but if there are, of course, we will provide them.

EDWARD NAZAAR: I'm noting it's about twelve noon now and Mr. Pelofsky has a meter issue to be concerned about. What's every sense, keep moving, take a five minute break? Break for lunch? I'm amenable to -

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: He answered all of, I think my questions. I don't know how many you have.

EDWARD NAZAAR: I want to go through the schedules some and with that.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I'm good with whatever.

EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I will run down and take care of the parking meter thing, so I don't know if anybody else needs to do that.

MR. KIMBALL: Is it going to be three hours or is it going to be thirty minutes or one hour, that would determine whether we should go to lunch in my opinion.

EDWARD NAZAAR: one hour? What do you think? One hour or more?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I would think so. I don't think it would be three hours.

EDWARD NAZAAR: We can take a break.
```

Do you want to take a break?

```

MR. KIMBALL: Well, we need to feed the parking meter, but if it's going to be one hour, I can wait for lunch. I'd rather do that and just kind of.
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EDWARD NAZAAR: And then leave.

MR. KIMBALL: Yeah. You drive back to Wichita and we can get on with our day but if its going to be three hours, I would like to eat something.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I don't think it's going to be three hours.

MR. PELOFSKY: How many quarters you got?

MR. KIMBALL: My wife gave me two dollars in quarters.

MR. PELOFSKY: You got a coat.
MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: (Inaudible).
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Is somebody going to be in the room?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I'm staying here.
MR. KIMBALL: It'll take me about ten minutes. Are you driving back to Wichita today?

EDWARD NAZAAR: No, I have to be in Lawrence tomorrow so I'm staying.

MR. KIMBALL: Are you going to the basketball game?

EDWARD NAZAAR: No, no. I wish I could say I was going to the basketball game. I'm going to, there's a dinner, a bar dinner that \(I\) have
to go to.
    MR. KIMBALL: Oh. I'll be right
    back.
    MR. PELOFSKY: Did I give you my
    card.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Thank you.
    MR. PELOFSKY: This is going to be a
    lawyer's paradise.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Yeah, but I'm a
    little worried that its not going to be a trustee's
paradise in the sense that it's the worse kind of
    (inaudible) work.
    MR. PELOFSKY: Yeah. Well, yeah. I
don't know exactly where LTS fits into this, but I
think, my recollection was is that there is some
money in the receivables. The real question is
whether the people who owe it can pay it.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Joe, I signed up for
the app, the park mobile app that allows you to.
    MR. PELOFSKY: Oh that Bruce was
    talking about yesterday.
    UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Yeah, the only
glitch with that is if you're not driving your own
car, you can't use it.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: You can add one.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: You can put in another, yeah but if its random. Somebody random that drives you, like my situation today. I didn't know \(I\) could put in her license plate.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: It's pretty easy. Like I had my wife's van last week when I was here, just did it.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: You know the five dollar lot or whatever, but yesterday, I was like trying to find a place with the Nationalized Citizen thing going on, so many people here.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Oh yeah. I used to park at the really shady two dollar a day.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: I don't know
```

    where that is. Probably too far to walk.
    ```

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, and it's like getting worse. There's, I don't know if anyone is even picking up the money that you put in the slot anymore. There's a fence around it and so I just stopped doing it.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Well, I love the app but the last time I tried to use it, it wasn't working and so iu thought well maybe there is something wrong but Bruce said that day, the other day it wasn't working, but then I realized I didn't
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```

have anybody else's license plate in there, so I

```
mean. It is easy.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: I'm just fascinated,
I'm from the country, about this app.
    UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: How many cities
do you think have the park, I wonder. I guess you
just pull up to a meter and see if there is a number
on it.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Now Susan you need
like those scenes in the movies in the \(30 s\) and the
\(40 s\) with the woman who is holding her hand like this
and has a cigarette in the --
    UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Oh I need to
see that.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Yeah, it's just kind
of --
    UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: The reason I'm
holding it up, she asked me, is because they say keep
    it above your heart because the blood won't be, you
    know then your fingers wont swell and it heals
    better. That's why. I didn't want to draw attention
    to myself.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: But it would just be
    just so much more dramatic, you know.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: It would be. MR. PELOFSKY: You need a holder too. UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: I have one of those from a customer too. I will probably will be going to (inaudible - multiple people talking). EDWARD NAZAAR: What I am probably going to do Joe is continue this, but I'm not going to separately set something independent of Janice. So if Janice has a continued hearing, I will probably ducktail on it so it won't be inconvenient to Mr. Kimball.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: I know Bruce said he wanted, he is going to do the same thing on his. So we should all coordinate schedules to see when we want to do this. As he was, as I was hanging up the phone with him, he said do you want to do it before Joe leaves town and I said, I will let you know, but my thought is probably not. MR. PELOFSKY: Good.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Because we won't have any really --

MR. PELOFSKY: He will have something but I'm not sure, I'm going to try to get you something Monday but \(I\) don't know.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: So Bruce
```

    indicated that his was going to be continued. I
    didn't hear the end.
    UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: He said,
    adjourned but --
    UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Yeah, and I
    thought, oh he concluded.
    UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: No, he didn't.
    UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: They didn't
    have everything he wanted so I was surprised. Okay.
    Are you all, is that what I'm hearing that all three
of you are going to try to coordinate the same day?
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Yeah.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Yeah.
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Janice, is your
hearing for Tuesday still on?
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: I think so.
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Okay. I mean,
I didn't know whether it was still necessary.
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: I don't know.
I mean, its still on but I don't know if you need to
come back or not.
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: I had it on the
calendar.
MR. PELOFSKY: What's that on?
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: The hearing on

```
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```

Tuesday.

```
    MR. PELOFSKY: What do you want to do
    about that?
    UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Pertain to the
    UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: I think you're
    going to ask the court for time to amended Schedules.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Oh yeah, I was in
    his son's class, yeah. Who's in house with
    Merckland, right?
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Correct.
    MR. PELOFSKY: Well, I think it's
    more than amended schedules. I think we can some
    schedules filed, at least in Kimball's case and then
    it's just going to be doing all the scut work.
    UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: All this what
    work?
    MR. PELOFSKY: Scut work.
    UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: What's that
    mean?
    MR. PELOFSKY: I think it's an old
    naval term that has to do with cleaning out the
    edges.
    (Inaudible - multiple people talking)
    MR. PELOFSKY: It's a very
```

interesting relationship between the Dow and the SMP,
its very peculiar number. But, well I just think
it's just children in paly really.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yep.
MR. PELOFSKY: The price of oil has
been down for a very long time and now they are
worried about China. They have no idea what they are
worried about because China doesn't tell you
anything.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, crude is at
twenty-nine barrel.
MR. PELOFSKY: One of these days, the
Saudi's are going to have to quit selling.
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Has it warmed
up any out there?
MR. KIMBALL: It's really cold.
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Really windy?
MR. KIMBALL: Really windy and really
cold. I think tomorrow is supposed to be like ten
degrees.
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: It was nice
yesterday, sixty degrees.
MR. PELOFSKY: It was golfing weather
yesterday.
MR. KIMBALL: What I don't

```
understand, I'm sure they have proceedings in this
building lot every day, why don't they have a parking
lot that you can park it.
    UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: They did not
plan well, poor parking.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Breakthrough at
twenty-nine dollars and twenty-three cents.
    MR. PELOFSKY: Well, the real problem
--
    (Inaudible - multiple speakers).
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I have had a
bunch of drilling companies a few years ago and
Kansas drilling were sixty bucks.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Right, as the
breaking --
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: As the breaking.
        MR. KIMBALL: You got in the oil
business.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I was
representing as a debtor and actually I was a
receiver also for a couple of drilling companies so
breakeven in Kansas is about sixty bucks a barrel and
today its twenty-nine -- the range is twenty-nine
forty-three so Texas is twenty-five bucks.
    MR. KIMBALL: My father, besides
```

being a banking attorney was oil and gas (inaudible)
an attorney, and so we've lived by the price of, the
barrel of oil my whole life, so I'm very familiar
with that, but there is a lot of people I know which
done in the petro business.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah.
MR. KIMBALL: Saudi Arabia -
(Inaudible - multiple people talking)
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay.
MR. KIMBALL: Yeah Wichita is a big
oil town. Did you ever know a guy name Floyd Wilson
(ph).
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I do know Floyd
Wilson.
MR. KIMBALL: I'm really good friends
with his son.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I don't know his
son, I knew Floyd.
MR. KIMBALL: Andrew.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Andrew, he --
MR. KIMBALL: Floyd, I've been
following Floyd from --
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Your thinking of
Petrohawk (ph) and now Halcone (ph).
MR. KIMBALL: Halcon (ph).

```

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay.
MR. KIMBALL: Yeah that's a thirty-
four cents now, but when he hit the, what was the first field he hit that he got really rich, that was the first company, \(I\) can't remember, but I always.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: It was Reach (ph).
MR. KIMBALL: He sold it, he sold it to Chesapeake and I can't remember what the --

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Not Petrohawk, no.

MR. KIMBALL: Petrohawk was the one he sold to BTE (ph), Billaton and Australia for thirteen billion dollars.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah.
MR. KIMBALL: I'll remember it, I'm actually pretty, even Floyd is, you know, a father of mine friends, we were, we became buddies. But he lives in Austin now.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Houston actually.
MR. KIMBALL: He commutes between
```

    Austin and Houston.
    ```

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I actually looked at Halcon today.

MR. KIMBALL: I bought it at nine
dollars, it's at thirty-four cents. There
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overleverage and there, \(I\) think they have three
    billion dollars in debt and they can't get anybody to
    refinance their debt and it's coming due, but he'll
    be okay. He's probably got about five hundred at
    least.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I actually
    looked, they did that reverse five for one stock
    split in December.
    MR. KIMBALL: Did they.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And right so,
your share now is I don't a reverse five for one is
    trading at fifty-one cents. So the effective rate is
    eleven cents.
    MR. KIMBALL: Oh, it's gone up from
    up from thirty-four cents. I bought it at nine, and
    I told all my friends to buy it because the last four
    pubically trade companies I doubled my money on just
    because he is such a successful driller and for the
    (inaudible) anyway let's go ahead --
    MR. PELOFSKY: Nine cents or nine
    dollars?
    MR. KIMBALL: Nine dollars.
    MR. PELOFSKY: That's at Halcon.
    MR. KIMBALL: Halcon, yeah.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Let's go on so we can
```

    conclude this for today.
        UNIDENTIFIED MALE: You back on?
        EDWARD NAZAAR: Yes, back on. Who is
    in procession of 908 Baltimore right now?
        MR. KIMBALL: CoreFirst Bank and
    Trust.
EDWARD NAZAAR: CoreFirst.
MR. KIMBALL: They foreclosed on the
loan.
EDWARD NAZAAR: And so to the extent
that there is any property of LTS Management, I
should be contacting CoreFirst.
MR. KIMBALL: We left some stuff, we
just couldn't get out of there fast enough.
EDWARD NAZAAR: For instance, the
server room and all that.
MR. KIMBALL: I don't know, quite
honestly. It was, they gave us like a week and we
got out what we could.
MR. PELOFSKY: There is the furniture
at the Metal's place.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Right. That Hodes,
Vince Hodes has. And I think that this Stan (ph)
just told me about that. And is there a sale?
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: No, we hired

```
Robert Mayo, so he just like in the last day or two
gave us a potential dates that we could work towards,
so I will leave that up to you.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: In question number
19, I'll read it for you and then the answer.
Question 19 of the Statement of Affairs. The
question is, list all firms or individuals who within
the last two years immediately preceding the filing
of this bankruptcy case have audited the books of
accounts and records or prepared a financial
statement of the debtor. And the answer given was
yourself at 5100 High Drive and John Mullen at 8900
Ward Parkway is, is that a correct answer relative to
the fact of audited?
    MR. KIMBALL: We didn't have audits
of the financial statements. But Russ Coka also
should be added to that because he prepared all the
taxes.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: So do you personally
have any records of LTS management?
    MR. KIMBALL: Me personally?
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Correct.
    MR. KIMBALL: They are on my
    computer.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay.

MR. KIMBALL: But at my house, I do not.
not.

EDWARD NAZAAR: So they are on your business computer at Gregory.

MR. KIMBALL: At Gregory.
EDWARD NAZAAR: And so it would be
possible then to get a mirrored image of those
    records.

MR. KIMBALL: Sure, I gave Ms. Stanton the file of the LTS records but I, you're more than happy to come over and I can get whatever you want.

EDWARD NAZAAR: And then does Mr. Mullen also have those same business records?

MR. KIMBALL: He has been our, for a lack of a better term, corporate attorney since 2005, so he would've seen any kind of records.

EDWARD NAZAAR: And my sense of it, Mr. Mullen is really an insurance defense lawyer, isn't he more than anything?

MR. KIMBALL: He is a litigator but really what he did for us was when a debtor couldn't be, for example, \(I\) hate to use Brendon again, but Brendon borrows two hundred dollars and hires Mr. Maloney to sue Richmond because Mr. Mullen would
```

negotiate a settlement for three hundred dollars and
the did those all the time. Most of them we could do
internally but if they hired an attorney, we would
want an attorney to talk to an attorney, does that
make sense.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: That was claims
that a borrower would make against one of these
companies or that it was a collection action you were
trying to recover. And you still wanted to pay the
loan, so they would go look up some statute in their
state or something and say, you know ninety-nine
percent of the time we would just write the loan off,
but if they hired an attorney, then Mr. Mullen would
contact an attorney and say okay, we will offer
Brendon his two hundred dollars back or the two
hundred, oh wipe it off and I would say, well I get
two hundred dollars for my hour work that I did.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: This is where the
consumer or the bar would claim some violation of
like the fair debt collection practices Act or
something.
MR. KIMBALL: You name it, act of
God, they came up with all kinds of things. You can
only imagine.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Sorry to

```
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(inaudible).
    EDWARD NAZAAR: No, no, you're fine.
Question number 23, if the debtor is a partnership or
corporation, list all withdrawals or distributions,
credited or given to an insider. An insider, I would
presume would be you or Mr. Furseth. Including
compensation and any foreign bonuses, loans, stocks,
renditions, options or within one year preceding the
case. Is that correct? There been any distributions
to you or Mr. Furseth?
    MR. KIMBALL: LTS Management.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: LTS Management.
    MR. KIMBALL: Not to my knowledge but
    I will have to double check.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay. And if there
was a distribution, where would those distributions,
which account would they have come from?
    MR. KIMBALL: Well, we have one LTS
account at Five Star.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: And where is Five
    Star? Is that here in Kansas City?
                                MR. KIMBALL: It's in Colorado
    Springs, Colorado.
        EDWARD NAZAAR: And why utilization
    of a bank in Colorado Springs?
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MR. KIMBALL: Because that is the
only bank that would take us, and I know the owner of
the bank.

EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay.
MR. KIMBALL: That's how desperate it was to get a bank account.

EDWARD NAZAAR: Now, I noticed in this bank account on January 31, 2015, so within a year of the filing of the bankruptcy, there was a distribution of seventy thousand dollars to Agile Fish. What could that be for?

MR. KIMBALL: I don't know. Like I said, I was going to check and see. There would be an invoice associated with that.

EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay. And there were various payments to Aetna. Was that something --

MR. KIMBALL: That's insurance.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Life insurance or --
MR. KIMBALL: Life insurance, health insurance.

EDWARD NAZAAR: Insurance, and health insurance for you and Mr. Furseth or other individuals?

MR. KIMBALL: I'd have to check.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Now there also
```

appears to be transfers into this bank account at

```
appears to be transfers into this bank account at
    Five Star Bank from Chanook Services, Up Front
    Five Star Bank from Chanook Services, Up Front
    Processing and from Agile Fish. What were those
    Processing and from Agile Fish. What were those
    credits into the account?
    credits into the account?
    MR. KIMBALL: Those are the invoices
    MR. KIMBALL: Those are the invoices
    that I would have to, like I said yesterday, I'd have
    that I would have to, like I said yesterday, I'd have
    to go look up or something that was, some service
    to go look up or something that was, some service
    that was done but there should be an invoice
    that was done but there should be an invoice
    associated with that.
    associated with that.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: And what typically,
    EDWARD NAZAAR: And what typically,
for instance, would Agile Fish be invoiced for?
for instance, would Agile Fish be invoiced for?
    MR. KIMBALL: Um, if, in previous
    MR. KIMBALL: Um, if, in previous
years LTS Management would bill the Russian entity
years LTS Management would bill the Russian entity
directly for software support.
directly for software support.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Um-hum.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Um-hum.
    MR. KIMBALL: And since all the LTS
    MR. KIMBALL: And since all the LTS
Management didn't have any software support employees
Management didn't have any software support employees
    anymore and Agile Fish took over that role, that
    anymore and Agile Fish took over that role, that
    would be one reason that I could think of that any
    would be one reason that I could think of that any
    kind of transfer or money for support at some point
    kind of transfer or money for support at some point
    that was a -- I believe we have a contract between
    that was a -- I believe we have a contract between
    with Russian and with LTS. I don't know if there is
    with Russian and with LTS. I don't know if there is
    one for Agile Fish for monthly software support
    one for Agile Fish for monthly software support
    because until I'd have to say the last six months,
    because until I'd have to say the last six months,
    they were one hundred percent dependent on a version,
```

    they were one hundred percent dependent on a version,
    ```
```

a modified version of our software, that we did and

```
a modified version of our software, that we did and
they created the, I shouldn't say they, the company
they created the, I shouldn't say they, the company
created its own proprietary software, but it still
created its own proprietary software, but it still
runs a portion of the process of making a loan in
runs a portion of the process of making a loan in
Russia still uses some portion of the modified
Russia still uses some portion of the modified
version of the software.
version of the software.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Which company were
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Which company were
    you referring to modifying the software, Agile.
    you referring to modifying the software, Agile.
    MR. KIMBALL: No Agile Fish is just
    MR. KIMBALL: No Agile Fish is just
maintaining the relationship. The company that
maintaining the relationship. The company that
modified the software to begin with was LTS
modified the software to begin with was LTS
Management and then with the employees it became
Management and then with the employees it became
Glacier Management and it was Glacier Management.
Glacier Management and it was Glacier Management.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: And then became Agile
    EDWARD NAZAAR: And then became Agile
    Fish.
    Fish.
    MR. KIMBALL: Agile Fish, as far as I
    MR. KIMBALL: Agile Fish, as far as I
understand, I don't know who works for Agile Fish
understand, I don't know who works for Agile Fish
exactly. I understand it is contracted IT people
exactly. I understand it is contracted IT people
that used to work for Glacier.
that used to work for Glacier.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: But isn't, aren't
    EDWARD NAZAAR: But isn't, aren't
those the same people that are officing at the
those the same people that are officing at the
Gregory address that you're at?
Gregory address that you're at?
    MR. KIMBALL: No.
    MR. KIMBALL: No.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: The Agile Fish
    EDWARD NAZAAR: The Agile Fish
    employees at the address on Gregory --
```

    employees at the address on Gregory --
    ```
```

    MR. KIMBALL: As far as I know there
    is four Agile Fish employees at Gregory, the rest of
them are North Kansas City. I don't know which way
is north, but.
EDWARD NAZAAR: The Agile Fish
employees at Gregory are marketing people? Or what
are they?
MR. KIMBALL: Support staff.
EDWARD NAZAAR: For software support
you mean or?
MR. KIMBALL: No.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Just --
MR. KIMBALL: I don't know.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Just back office?
MR. KIMBALL: My assumption is what I
see them doing a lot is collecting mail and
processing bill payments, but I don't know. They
don't work for me anymore.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Are you subletting
the space from Agile Fish that you are located at?
MR. KIMBALL: No.
EDWARD NAZAAR: You have your own
separate lease with the landlord there?
MR. KIMBALL: No, they just let me
stay there.

```
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EDWARD NAZAAR: The Agile Fish people
```

let you stay there?

```

MR. KIMBALL: There are several
people besides myself that they let stay there.

EDWARD NAZAAR: And whom might, who are the other people, if \(I\) might ask.

MR. KIMBALL: Brooks Pierce and Todd
Tobin (ph).
    EDWARD NAZAAR: And Brooks Peterson?
    MR. KIMBALL: Pierce.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Pierce, I'm sorry and
then the other individual?
    MR. KIMBALL: Todd Tobin.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: And were these former
employees of Glacier or LTS?
    MR. KIMBALL: Bruce Pierce (ph) was
our internal council at LTS and Glacier.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay.
    MR. KIMBALL: And Todd Tobin is a
    real estate development and former aircraft carrier
    fighter pilot.
                            EDWARD NAZAAR: So he was never
associated --
    MR. KIMBALL: He was, I initially
hired him. I believe Ms. Stanton asked Mr. Furseth
why Mr. Tobin had been paid initially was hired him
to be a operating officer of Glacier before Operation
    Chokepoint so his departure from the Navy wasn't so
    pleasant because he thought he had this job.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: All right. What is
    Chanook Services (ph)?
    MR. KIMBALL: It is a Native American
    lending company.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: And where is it
    located?
    MR. KIMBALL: In Utica, California.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: And is it the entity
    that you bought the server for?
    MR. KIMBALL: No, the server is owned
    by the Guidiville, G-U-I-D-I-V-I-L-L-E, Ranchia,
Ranch with an I-A, Tribe.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: In the same general
    location or?
    MR. KIMBALL: They own Chanook.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: And then what is
    Upfront Processing?
    MR. KIMBALL: It is another tribal
    lending and also owned by the same Guidiville Ranchia
    Tribe.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: And are these tribes
```

related in any fashion to the tribe that owns EDS?
MR. KIMBALL: I'm sorry.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Are they Edata
Solutions, I'm sorry. Edata solutions.
MR. KIMBALL: That's the Wyandotte
tribe of Oklahoma. They are not related.
EDWARD NAZAAR: And --
MR. KIMBALL: As far as, I mean I
don't know, but I don't believe so. I've never had
any ownership of Edata.
EDWARD NAZAAR: How did either
Glacier Management Services or LTS come into contact
with the --
MR. KIMBALL: Guidiville.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Guidiville, thank
you, tribe.
MR. KIMBALL: The Guidiville tribe
has been in the lending business for about I think
almost seven or eight years and there is conferences
that are associated with the industry and they have
a, I assume, a booth and they solicit people to use
their tribe as a lending entity and a group of my
former executives met them at one of those
conferences and after they quit, they formed a
relationship with them, but since they did not have

```
```

an ability to run the day to day operations, they
hired Glacier first to run the day to day operations.
That's when you saw those payments that I explained
to Ms. Stanton yesterday, and then at some point when
Glacier was no longer to sustain itself, Agile Fish
took over those day to day relationships, but I do
not know how it operates on the Agile Fish side. Its
quite common at these conferences for multiple Native
American tribes to represent themselves as a
regulatory backstop for a lack of a better term to
use the sovereign immunity to processing loans.
EDWARD NAZAAR: You sense my next
question. My lay opinion and tell me if I am correct
or not, is that the tribes are probably the only
entity organization that can within the continental
United States avoid the limitations created by
Operation Chokepoint.
MR. KIMBALL: You are very good at
guessing. The tribes have been exempted by the
Supreme Court several times because of the treaty of
I think 1855 allowing the tribal immunity from state
law, not federal law. So in order being consultant
to several tribes, in order to be compliant, you have
to follow federal law. The only federal law that is
and I can't say this is where it applies to this type

```
of lending, its call the Tea Letter of Truth and
Lending and then some other
Gramm-Leach electronic transfer laws, there's a whole
compliance list. So those are the federal laws that
    they have to comply with. Then a tribe would create
their own tribal lending laws within their, and then
they would go out and this, for example, this tribe
was not fortunate enough to receive valuable property
or a casino license. There are only so many casino
licenses issued by the United States government to
tribes and so of the three hundred and sixty-seven
recognized tribes, I think there is less than a
hundred that have casino licenses. So the other ones
have to find ways of creating revenue and this is the
most popular way.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: So the tribes aren't
subject to the Patriot Act and --
    MR. KIMBALL: Yes, of course the
Patriot Act.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: This is a very
complicated area of the law. There are a number of
enforcement actions by various government agencies
against various tribes of which the FDC and
Department of Justice have alleged that tribes have
not complied with various laws and I don't know who
```

Mr. Kimball's clients are and it doesn't sound like
by what he is telling me they are involved in the
event.
MR. KIMBALL: No.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: But there are
other parties who have attempted to do similar things
with tribes that have gotten themselves into trouble
with the Department of Justice.
MR. KIMBALL: If you don't follow the
FTC guidelines you're in big trouble.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: There are a number
of tribes or institutions that have tried to assert
that various provisions were not applicable and
through the IRS and the government.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Now kind of moving
along, because we need to keep to the guidelines we
discussed. Schedule H shows codebtors to LTS
Management LLC. And your name is included, Eldridge
Marketing is included, Kemper Marketing is included
and Dayton Ventures LLC. Who are Eldridge Marketing,
Kemper Marketing and Dayton Ventures?
MR. KIMBALL: That's the attorney for
all those right there, these two guys.
MR. PELOFSKY: No, their attorneys
for the plaintiffs.

```
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EDWARD NAZAAR: That's --

MR. PELOFSKY: There are three
entities in the list.

EDWARD NAZAAR: In this --

MR. PELOFSKY: Yes.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: They are one of
the hypothetical fifty entities that we've been referring to, lending portfolios.

EDWARD NAZAAR: And why are they the only codebtors as opposed to all the entities shown on Schedule B19, Question 25?

MR. PELOFSKY: That was before my time, so \(I\) suppose the plaintiff's counsel can tell you something.

MR. KIMBALL: Those entities have no debt relationship with LTS.

EDWARD NAZAAR: Only Eldridge does, Kemper does, and Dayton does?

MR. KIMBALL: There is a list of individuals that had LTS debt, that we borrowed money from, but I don't know. I just know that these two gentleman represent those particular groups.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: No, I think they represent Sirius. I mean --

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Brian Cade (ph)
```

does. I don't think our litigation is listed.
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: They, Brian
Cade also represents I believe Kemper and Dayton as
well, and Eldridge. I'm sorry, I mislead --
MR. KIMBALL: Brendon --
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: They --
MR. KIMBALL: Brendon represents
Eldrigde.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: No I don't. No.
Eldrige and Kemper and Dayton are all lending
portfolios. I believe these three were defendants in
the State Court Kirus (ph) action. I think these
three are all defendants in our Northrock action
slash, we have claims against them and judgment.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Yes, when I look at
Schedule H, what that looks like to me, the Carrus
Partners would have been the plaintiff in a state
action and later settlement and the people on the
left hand side, Del, Eldrige, Kemper and Dayton look
to me like defendants in that state action and I
think parties that later enter the settlement maybe.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Does that make
sense?
EDWARD NAZAAR: Yeah, I think so.
Schedule G deal with executory contacts and the only

```
MR. KIMBALL: We don't have a contract, he just lets me use his warehouse.
EDWARD NAZAAR: My next question is,
MR. KIMBALL: Those all expired when the bank accounts closed. But you can see I would be
```

exec tory contracts shown there is storage with

```
exec tory contracts shown there is storage with
Midland Metals which is Mr. Hodes company I think
Midland Metals which is Mr. Hodes company I think
that identified, correct?
that identified, correct?
are there other contracts that exist. There are
are there other contracts that exist. There are
contracts with the various entities such as Richmond
contracts with the various entities such as Richmond
and Woodland and Maple --
and Woodland and Maple --
more than happy to present those service agreements.
more than happy to present those service agreements.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay. And there is
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay. And there is
some form of agreement with Agile Fish, is there not?
some form of agreement with Agile Fish, is there not?
    MR. KIMBALL: Not that I know of.
    MR. KIMBALL: Not that I know of.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: No written or oral
    EDWARD NAZAAR: No written or oral
    agreement?
    agreement?
    MR. KIMBALL: Not that I know of.
    MR. KIMBALL: Not that I know of.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: But there is also
    EDWARD NAZAAR: But there is also
    some form of an agreement is there not with the
    some form of an agreement is there not with the
    Russian entity that you --
    Russian entity that you --
    MR. KIMBALL: For the software.
    MR. KIMBALL: For the software.
    Yeah, I can find that agreement.
    Yeah, I can find that agreement.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: What is the name of
    EDWARD NAZAAR: What is the name of
    that Russian entity?
```

    that Russian entity?
    ```
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MR. KIMBALL: The entity that owns
Russia is at various levels, I don't know what the
contracts would be with but the Russian company is
    known as MMK OOO, which is basically LLC. I believe
    that is who the IT contact is with.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Who established the
    relationship with the Russian entities? Which
    individual? Yourself or?
    MR. KIMBALL: I don't understand the
    question.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: How did LTS
Management Services have a direct or indirect
    relationship with this the Russian company, either
    MMK OOO or some other parent --
    MR. KIMBALL: I was the cofounder of
    the company, Bisdengi (ph) which is the brand name
    for MMK along with Sam Furseth and Eric Baker (ph) in
    2008.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Bisdengi?
    MR. KIMBALL: \(B-I-S-D-E-N-G-I\).
    UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: And what does
    it mean?
    MR. KIMBALL: Quick money. Dengi is
    money and Bistro is quick. We have it trademarked.
    So when we founded the business in 2008 , the part of
```

the contribution of Sam and I was to bring over
intellectual property of experience and there was no
customer management software existed so we modified
the online version because our business in Russia is
a retail business.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Retail meaning bricks
and mortar.
MR. KIMBALL: Bricks and mortar. We
have five hundred and thirty locations, and thirty-
nine hundred employees in a hundred-sixty something
cities.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Five hundred and
thirty locations and thirty-nine hundred employees?
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Did you say a
hundred-sixty?
MR. KIMBALL: A hundred and sixty-
five, I think, cities. So the relationship to answer
your question was formed of that partnership would be
(inaudible).
EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay. Now looking at
various creditors that are shown on Schedule F, there
is an entity called Altitude Money Management Group
that is allegedly owed three hundred thousand
dollars. What is that? Was that a servicing entity?

```
```

Was that a lender of money to LTS Management or to
the Money Shop?
MR. KIMBALL: No, it's an entity that
raised money for us and we paid them to do that.
EDWARD NAZAAR: And was -- when was
the period of Altitude money serviced to you? I
mean, was it early on? Was it later?
MR. KIMBALL: I don't remember. It's
my brother and one of his friends endeavor.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay. You left me
with the impression that people were clamoring in the
Kansas City area to get into this venture.
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
EDWARD NAZAAR: And so why did you
need Altitude money if people were lining up at the
door to --
MR. KIMBALL: Because people would
call my brother and ask to get into the business. My
brother was a lobbyist for the industry.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay.
MR. KIMBALL: So they formed a
partnership.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Creditor number seven
is E. Baker. Is that an individual?
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.

```

EDWARD NAZAAR: And who is owed two
```

hundred and twenty-nine thousand dollars?

```
    MR. KIMBALL: In the (inaudible)
amount but --
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Is it possible to
determine what special purpose entity E. Baker loaned
money to?
    MR. KIMBALL: Probably he lent the
money to LTS and LTS allocated to one of those.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay. And is that
the case in all of these instances where these
people, these lenders are loaning money to LTS
Management Services and it in turn is then --
    MR. KIMBALL: Pushing it to --
    EDWARD NAZAAR: You know, at its
discretion into --
    MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: A particular.
    UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Let me ask a
followup to that, isn't it true that an individual
could have loaned money directly to one of these
special purposes entities and that individual may not
be identifies on the schedules.
    MR. KIMBALL: Because they are not
    involved with LTS.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Right, is that
    true?

MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Okay.
MR. KIMBALL: The majority, like I said, we were kind of sloppy with the smaller amounts, so, with the exception of one and it was a larger amount.

EDWARD NAZAAR: And then there is a company called Baxiden (ph) Corporation in the Seychelles Islands. How were they involved?

MR. KIMBALL: They were Russians.
EDWARD NAZAAR: So Russian money came into LTS Management.

MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
EDWARD NAZAAR: and LTS Management
```

money went into Russia?

```

MR. KIMBALL: No.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Or went into some related affiliated that ultimately got to Russia. MR. KIMBALL: No LTS Management, my money that I made and Sam's money that he made went into Russia.

EDWARD NAZAAR: As a distribution to you.

MR. KIMBALL: After we had been
distribution or profit sharing or whatever you want to call it, but as, just as the same in Kansas City we spent a lot of time in Russia and Russian individuals asked if they could invest in the United States business.

EDWARD NAZAAR: I get the sense that the lenders who loaned money early on in the enterprise, the LTS Management enterprise, received either more money or all of their money back whereas later lenders did not. Am I correct in that assessment?

MR. KIMBALL: It depended on where you were in your amortization schedule. So if you invested in 2005 and not reinvested, you've been paid in full and moved on. If you invested in April of 2013, you may have gotten one or two payments of your amortization schedule or zero.

EDWARD NAZAAR: At what point did this company become insolvent?

MR. KIMBALL: I don't know what that means.

EDWARD NAZAAR: Where its liabilities exceeded its assets.

MR. KIMBALL: I don't know.

EDWARD NAZAAR: There were, I
presume, balance sheets were created for LTS
Management.

MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
EDWARD NAZAAR: And were those done
internally or were those done --

MR. KIMBALL: Internally.
EDWARD NAZAAR: And were audited
Financial Statements ever prepared?

MR. KIMBALL: They were but we quit
doing them four or five years ago, I don't remember.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Four or five years
from now, so meaning 2010 or '11.

MR. KIMBALL: I don't know.
EDWARD NAZAAR: And why were audited
financials discontinued?

MR. KIMBALL: We just quit doing them.

EDWARD NAZAAR: And how would I obtain income statements and balance sheets for the company?

MR. KIMBALL: I have all of them on the computer. I have actually given Ms. Stanton a copy of that, but I'm more than happy to make a file or drive or whatever for you.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Well, Ed you
got the disk I sent you.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Yes.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: I just want to
make sure.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Now help me out,
Evergreen Partners. You had mentioned Evergreen
Partners in the past and it was, what was its
relationship to LTS Management? You had Evergreen Capital partners and Evergreen International which you and Sam owned both companies. What did Evergreen Capital partners do?

MR. KIMBALL: Evergreen Capital
partners was the LLC that would be the owner of these or a partner with, if there was an investment. So Evergreen had no function other than to be the owner of the LLC. So if you lent money to Richmond, Richmond was owned by Evergreen Capital partners.

EDWARD NAZAAR: And was the sole
member of Richmond.
MR. KIMBALL: It depends on the relationship, if it was a fifty-fifty partnership then the, using Brendon again, he would be partners with Evergreen Capital partners.

EDWARD NAZAAR: And so there was a,
```

these are all limited liability companies --
MR. KIMBALL: For the most part.
EDWARD NAZAAR: For the most, and so
there is either an operating agreement that would
denominate this.
MR. KIMBALL: There should be.
EDWARD NAZAAR: And were their
partnerships or were they corporations?
MR. KIMBALL: They were all LLCs for
the lending funds. Some of the other entities on
there might have been S-corps but anything that an
investor put into was all a Delaware LLC.
EDWARD NAZAAR: And the only purpose
of Evergreen Capital partners, only business purpose
was to hold the equity interest in the special
purpose entities defined on document 82-1.
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
EDWARD NAZAAR: And then so what is
Evergreen International?
MR. KIMBALL: Evergreen International
is the company we set up to, right now its only asset
is the company that owns, a company that is a third
owner of the organizational chart. Its quite robust
that it owns Russia. The original version was to
expand into Europe and different areas but at this

```
```

point it only owns a company called Ivonics.
EDWARD NAZAAR: And is there an
organization chart that is available?
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
EDWARD NAZAAR: And you have
procession of that or --
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Or presumed.
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: I can get you
that.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay. The creditors
that are shown on Schedule F, do they have a cause of
action? Is one of their creditors, not only LTS
Management but the special purpose entities, only
LTS?
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
EDWARD NAZAAR: And so --
MR. KIMBALL: Let me just define
that. Some of these creditors may have made
additional investments, let's say Brendon for
example, found an extra five hundred thousand dollars
that maybe he had a new --
EDWARD NAZAAR: A new --
MR. KIMBALL: A new hundred thousand
dollar investment with LTS but then if he came in

```
with a larger amount, we created an LLC so there may
be some people in there that have more than one, but
    anybody listed in there would have not.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: So what happens is
that LTS Management LLC is really a clearing house.
Money is lent to LTS Management LLC and then it
allocates it at its discretion to these various
special purpose entities, these specialities shown on
document 82-1 and then correspondingly, the Money
Shop --

MR. KIMBALL: Loan Shop.
EDWARD NAZAAR: The Loan Shop is the trade name for LTS Management enters a separate loan with the consumer and a loan document is signed, and
when its loan document is returned, then LTS
determines which of the special purpose entities will
fund that loan.
    MR. KIMBALL: Essentially yes.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: Change it, if I said
it wrong just tell me how \(I\) said it wrong.
    MR. KIMBALL: You said it, I think
pretty accurately.
    UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: But only as to
the creditors that are identified in Schedule F.
There are other investors that directly invested into
```

    these other entities. I just want to make sure so
    there is not a hundred percent of --
        MR. KIMBALL: They're not involved in
    LTS.
        UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Right.
        EDWARD NAZAAR: And are there records
    that would identify who those direct investors are?
    MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
    EDWARD NAZAAR: And I think you said
    earlier that in order to become a direct investor,
you had to start out as a lender and prove you're
bona fide. You had to loan money (inaudible -
coughing).
MR. KIMBALL: That was the
traditional route.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Yeah.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I think you are
mixing terms, direct investor, because there would be
a direct equity investor which is what Del said you
had to be a debt investor first. The direct
investment would also be rather than getting a
promissory note from LTS, you could get a promissory
note and loan directly to one of fifty or so
entities.
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: And those

```
```

people may not be identified or probably not
identified in the LTS (inaudible - clearing throat)
Schedules because LTS doesn't owe them any money.
One of these other entities owes the money.
EDWARD NAZAAR: All right. Your
Schedule D of LTS Management LLC shows secured claims
and there are no secured claims so no one has a lien
on the LTS Management LLC assets, whatever assets
there may be. Is that a correct?
MR. KIMBALL: I believe so. I am not
a hundred percent sure.
EDWARD NAZAAR: The CoreFirst at one,
did CoreFirst or United Missouri at any time have a
lien on the assets of LTS Management LLC?
MR. KIMBALL: I never banked at
United Missouri. Missouri Bank and Trust.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Missouri Bank and
Trust.
MR. KIMBALL: At some point we had a
long, long time ago, credit facility with Missouri
Bank but I don't know what is was collateralized by
and CoreFirst, the tax partnership determined how the
collateralization was and I would probably give you
an inaccurate answer because it is very complex.
EDWARD NAZAAR: And when you are

```
```

    talking a tax partnership, the entity that had the
    condo?
    MR. KIMBALL: Our office building.
            EDWARD NAZAAR: Office building, all
    right.
MR. KIMBALL: Sorry, it was, even to
this day I can't understand it.
EDWARD NAZAAR: You have identified
in Schedule B an account at Five Star Bank with a
balance of one hundred ninety-six dollars and thirty-
one cents and that's the only bank account that now
exists at any, and within the two years before the
filing of the involuntary petition which is of course
in August of 2015, were there any other bank accounts
for either Glacier or LTS, other than the Five Star?
MR. KIMBALL: Glacier had an account
at BMO Harris for a period of time and then they
closed it. I don't believe we had an LTS account
there and obviously we had the accounts at CoreFirst
until September and I'm not quite sure if LTS had the
account with BMO Harris in Canada or was with the
processing company.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay.
MR. KIMBALL: I have been trying to
figure that out and its, I have plenty of stuff that

```
```

you can look at and we can try to figure it out
together.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Okay. Item number
22, is patent, copyrights, and other intellectual
property and there is an identification of small loan
computer programs, value unknown. What is -- what
are you referring to there? Is that the software that
is in the possession of Agile Fish?
MR. KIMBALL: Well, LTS never owned
the software, DMS did everything on the software so I
am not quite sure if I answered that question
correctly.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Yeah, I'm just
showing, that's what I'm trying to --
MR. KIMBALL: I think that would
probably needs to be amended.
EDWARD NAZAAR: All right. I would
like to be keep my word and with that --
MR. KIMBALL: My ticket last time was
ninety-seven dollars.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Any quick questions
from anyone else?
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, again
focused --
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: I have one.

```

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Go ahead.
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Okay, early on you were talking about the services provided by Edata and you said for an example you might buy four thousand leads and of those four thousand leads, you might get a thousand dollars in loans.

MR. KIMBALL: Make a thousand loans.
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Make a thousand
loans. So as to the other three thousand leads that you get, do you sell those or what happens to those leads?

MR. KIMBALL: Just delete them.
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Okay. So there
is no way to generate or there wasn't any way to
generate any funds from those leads.

MR. KIMBALL: No because we deemed
them inappropriate to make a loan to.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Okay.
MR. KIMBALL: So we would just delete them as far as I know.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: And you couldn't sell those leads to some other secondary market or anything like that.

MR. KIMBALL: We could have, but we didn't.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Okay. That's
the only question I have.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Jeff.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. At one
point, you talked about the idea of the fees of LTS where charges twenty percent of the dollars on the street, get on an account. Was that on an LLC by LLC basis calculated?

MR. KIMBALL: I believe so.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And that balance of on the street, is that an average balance for the month, the beginning balance, any?

MR. KIMBALL: To my understanding, when \(I\) was doing it, I would take the balance of the last day of the month. It, when I hired a CFO, I believe he continued that policy.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Whatever the balance was the last day of the month.

MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Did that also encourage LTS in its role of when say at fourteen days out for twenty-one days that a loan looks bad, to try to get an additional or replacement loan into that particular account as soon as possible.

MR. KIMBALL: Yes, that was the --

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I mean, if you did it right you could actually get that money back into the account by another loan within that same month.

MR. KIMBALL: The goal was if someone paid, to lend that money back out, and if someone defaulted, to find somebody to replace that loan but like I said thirty percent of the people that you lent money to just disappeared so.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Did the service agreements that LTS had with its various lending companies, did it allow a performing or nonperforming loan to be moved about between those different companies?

MR. KIMBALL: I don't think I understand your question.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Let's say you had an LTS vendor who had a small, but didn't qualify for their own LLC, they have a loan that is basically being run out on an account, can the loan that is performing be moved into an LLC and out of LTS own accounting?

MR. KIMBALL: Well, LTS didn't make loans. So if it was from an LLC, that loan remained with that LLC or until it was paid in full or
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defaulted.

```
defaulted.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay, let me back up just a second. I thought you told me before this, sometimes LTS though it was not really the best of plans sometimes did take on investors directly and that some of that was in the form of promissory notes.
MR. KIMBALL: Yes. I thought you were talking about making loans to the ultimate borrower.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Right. Let me back up and make that simpler. If we have two lending companies, both of them an LLC, okay. Again, we will do via Brendon (laughing), in my company I've got a loan that is performing. Does LTS for any reason ever take a performing loan out of my company and put it in Brendon's?
MR. KIMBALL: No.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: How about a nonperforming loan or something that is --
MR. KIMBALL: No.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Next, is it possible for Brendon and \(I\) as say investors or whatever, different LLCs, that we actually have different underwriting standards for what LTS will
```

```
allow into our LLC.
```

    MR. KIMBALL: No, everybody had the
    same underwriting statement.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: In the way that
    these were structured, is it true that if the
    investor was in the form of not equity investment
    but, you know, working through a promissory note,
would it be true then that when that promissory note
was issued to an LLC, one of the lending companies
you talked about, that only the LLC owing that lender
any money?
MR. KIMBALL: Only the LLC did, yes.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So, and what I
want to say for an example is, the LLC promissory
notes that went out to lenders, like you say, if the
market was right carrying thirty percent interest,
those promissory notes were not guaranteed by any
person or entity.
MR. KIMBALL: They were as far, and
I'm not an attorney, but they were categorized as
non-recourse promissory notes.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay, so there
was never a guarantor of any of those notes.
MR. KIMBALL: There were some notes
that we guaranteed on certain occasions as you know

```
with some of the friends clients and some of your
    clients for various reasons.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: As from the
    perspective of LT --
    MR. KIMBALL: The majority of these
    LLCs were non-guaranteed other than the entity had
    some kind of a guarantee.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And again when
    you say the entity, it is the discreet LLC lending
    company.
    MR. KIMBALL: Yeah, there was, the
    recourse would be to go back to Richmond Marketing.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay, and again
with some exceptions typically not guaranteed by LTS.
    MR. KIMBALL: No, no.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. And LTS
also does not have any other type of ownership
relationship with these lending company issuers of
promissory notes, correct? LTS doesn't own them.
    MR. KIMBALL: No. The owners were
    always Evergreen Capital Partners.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Does LTS ever
        loan the LLCs money?
    MR. KIMBALL: I don't know if I
    understand that.
```

```
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Could there be a
difficulty with return or short fall inside of an LLC
that LTS had the ability to, by the process of
    lending money, over a short-term deal, put any
    additional money into an LLC that was not strictly
    the proceeds of a performing loan within that LLC?
    MR. KIMBALL: I don't know if I could
    answer that question because obviously the people
    that lent LTS, the money was put into entities that
    may have already had money in there, I don't know. I
    don't know if I can answer that accurately.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay, is it
possible then for LTS money to actually move into one
of the lending LLCs under certain circumstances?
    MR. KIMBALL: It might possibly, I
don't know.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So that would be
an example if there was a bad portfolio that couldn't
service the debt.
    MR. KIMBALL: That would be a reason,
the reason that the, the reason would be taking
Richmond Marketing that would just, let's say there
was an investor who had three hundred thousand
dollars in there and you brought a hundred thousand
dollars in, we didn't create an LLC for you, we just
```

added it to Richmond Marketing and made an agreement
between Richmond Marketing and LTS.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: What if $I$ were a
million dollar investor and a standalone portfolio,
but the portfolio didn't perform well that month,
such that it didn't make enough to --
MR. KIMBALL: We never, we never lent
money to --
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I just wouldn't
get my interest payment that month.
MR. KIMBALL: No, we always mailed
our interest payments.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: But if the entity
didn't have the money to pay it, then $I$ assumed LTS
would have had to cover that shortfall for that
month.
MR. KIMBALL: I don't recall that
ever happening.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Like factually it
just didn't happen.
MR. KIMBALL: Typically that was not
a, our run rate that typically didn't happen.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: It always made
money.
MR. KIMBALL: Yeah, I mean that's why

```
we were around for thirteen years.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So the smaller
    investor that comes in with a hundred thousand
    dollars that you just said would be put into Richmond
    as opposed to trying to form another LLC for that
    small amount, again this is an example. The phrase
    you said I was trying to follow up on is, and if they
    did that then Richmond would have an agreement with
    LTS, is that right?
    MR. KIMBALL: Yeah.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And what is the
    nature of that kind of an agreement between an LLC
    and LTS, if the LLC is holding additional money.
    MR. KIMBALL: There would be some
    kind of document, but I haven't seen one in a long
    time, so I can't tell you what exactly --
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: But it wouldn't
    be part of the standard servicing agreement that or
    service agreement that LTS entered with the LLCs.
    Did the LTS entity or its officers have any form of
    account authority at each of these accounts that were
    numerously held at CoreFirst Bank?
    MR. KIMBALL: Who was, we formed all
    the bank accounts for these entities.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Do you remember,
```

```
given you know that there was so many of them, was
    the account typically formed by the efforts of
    employees of LTS?
    MR. KIMBALL: Yes. We had a
    longstanding relationship with the bank.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. And did
    they open those accounts in a standard format of what
    the authorities and the ownership of the account was?
    MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: What was the
    standard form of the authorities and ownership of the
account at CoreFirst?
    MR. KIMBALL: Typically we would open
up a bank account with an LLC. You have to show the
    operating agreement and certificate of good standing,
    all those other things. So every time, and with ACH
    it's further steps because ACH is a form of credit in
    the banking world. So you would have to get approval
    from the bank to open up each bank account and
    typically as I said myself as CFO and Sam Furseth
would be on the account, but every account, the bank
had a set procedure for opening up a bank account and
you would have to ask them the step by step process.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And the CFO was
    Mr. Sanchez (ph)?
```

MR. KIMBALL: Yes.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So each, you,
Sam, and Sanchez would have account authority for
signing checks or authorizing transactions?
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
EDWARD NAZAAR: What is Sanchez's
first name?
MR. KIMBALL: David.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And the account
ownership would be in the lawful name of the LLC?
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. Did all
three of yourself, Sam, and Mr. Sanchez, all three of
you were LTS employees or officers?
MR. KIMBALL: The only officers were
Sam and I.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay.
MR. KIMBALL: Well, like I said, I
can't remember if LTS is an $S$-Corp or LLC. As an LLC
they only have two members but there may be once the
account was established Mr. Sanchez, it would be
impossible to manage fifty accounts so he would have
limited authorization for people in the accounting
department to do certain daily functions.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: LTS's accounting
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```
department.
    MR. KIMBALL: LTS accounting
    department.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay.
    MR. KIMBALL: They would be limited
    to, like for example, sending a file or communicating
    with, we had a dedicated, as you can imagine
    (laughing), several dedicated people just to our
    accounts.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. And
    Evergreen Capital had no employees?
    MR. KIMBALL: No employees.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: You said
    something about the idea of minimizing monthly temper
    tantrums by the idea of having somebody go through
    the, I'm sorry, more standard, more average
    experience was that you would try to get people to go
    through the process of handling their investment by
    lending before they went into equity, and that was
    because some of them could not deal with not getting
    a payment at the end of the month.
    MR. KIMBALL: There is a bit of
        seasonality as any business and we found that the
        debt instrument was more consistent and people like
        to know what they are going to get and the person who
```

may have a longer relationship with us would
understand that if we did a partnership whereas a
profit split, that there may be months that you might
not get checks, but we learned the hard way from the
temper tantrums of why didn't I get a check this
month.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: But that would
only be an equity investor because you got --
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And let me follow
up that because you paid your promissory notes every
month --
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Regardless of
maybe a deficient performance in the particular
company.
MR. KIMBALL: We paid our promissory
notes as far as $I$ knew every month.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Are you of
recollection then that each of these LLC companies
that LTS was servicing that all of them performed
financially in a way that allowed LTS to pay what is
basically an average of thirty percent per month, I'm
sorry, thirty percent per year?
MR. KIMBALL: Per year.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Per year rate of interest payments on a monthly basis to all of the (inaudible)?

MR. KIMBALL: As far as I remember yes.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And that no extra monies were required to be input into those systems in order to keep those payments at a constant --

MR. KIMBALL: Not that, not that $I$ know of.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: You used the term at one time, a portfolio balance, is that a term that applies to portfolios like across the board at LTS or is portfolios something that is a word that LTS uses to describe the lending amounts within the one of the lending LLCs?

MR. KIMBALL: Portfolio balance would be the amount of what we would consider non-written off loans in an LLC.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: In an LLC, okay.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Can inject?
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I'll be real
quick. I just want to, you indicated that you would pay promissory notes every month. I presume, you
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means LTS Management Services?
MR. KIMBALL: The check would be from
the LLC.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: From LTS
Management Services?
MR. KIMBALL: No, the check would be
from Richmond Marketing to Brendon not from LTS.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And to the extent
that the LLC had insufficient funds at its disposal
to write that check, then LTS Management would inject
money into the LLC? How did that work?
MR. KIMBALL: I don't know any
circumstance like that so.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: He said he thinks
factually that never happened. It always made enough
to cover.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: All right.
MR. KIMBALL: The payments were
distributed over a monthly period so it was basically
determinant on deposits and stuff like that. I did
not handle the payment processing after probably 2005
when they hired Mr. Sanchez.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I'm going to stay
right on the same deal because maybe it is at that
level of detail, the way $L T S$ is actually managing
everybody's accounts okay, when you said, right their
monthly payment would come from the LLC not LTS,
correct? Would that monthly payment come out of the
same CoreFirst account that the retailer borrowers
were basically paying their loans into.
MR. KIMBALL: As far as my
understanding, yes. I don't believe that we set up
two accounts for each LLC but I could be incorrect.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. Would Mr.
Sanchez know that particular --
MR. KIMBALL: I can find that out and
look at the records.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay.
MR. KIMBALL: It's real simple, but
my belief is that there was one account for LLC.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: You also made a
cite reference to the idea of a little bit of
differential treatment of a particular investor
depending on whether they had paid their monthly
fees. Was the normal twenty percent fee that had to
be paid voluntarily or separately by the LLC as
opposed to just being automatically taken out by LTS?
MR. KIMBALL: The investor didn't
have any access to the bank account.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay, no access

```
    to it.
```

MR. KIMBALL: No access. So
everything was done internally, the twenty percent
was not taken one lump sum but may be taken maybe
over multiple periods of a month because of the way
the business runs that you just don't get all your
payments in one day, they were paid over twenty-one
business days a month. So one week you may five
percent of your overhead, one week you may pay three
percent. I did not do that after 2005 like 1 said.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. So
whatever the twenty percent of service fee monthly
fee would be on an LLC account, it would not
typically be taken out of the account all in the same
day.
MR. KIMBALL: No.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Would it be taken
out in arrears?
MR. KIMBALL: It was, I'm not sure if
I understand the --
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Would it be based
upon the prior month's last day balance?
MR. KIMBALL: Prior months, so you
would not be paying for the current month. You would
be paying for the month before.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So a payment on June 30th because you say you like to pay at the last day of the month, the twenty percent.

MR. KIMBALL: That's how we calculate it, but we would take it in July. So if your balance was one hundred thousand dollars, we would take in July the following month --

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: July 30th, July 31 st you would be paid. MR. KIMBALL: So if your balance on June 30th whatever the last day of June is, there is a hundred thousand dollars and in July you would pay twenty thousand over that month depending on cash flow.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay, got it.
MR. KIMBALL: So.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: On availability.
MR. KIMBALL: On availability because the majority of the world gets paid on Friday but there is, you know, the biggest three days of the month are the 31st, 1st, and 3rd.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Wrap it up with this temper tantrum deal. Those could only have come from equity investors then because no (inaudible) was ever disappointed.
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MR. KIMBALL: I would say they would, in an amortization schedule we would have a date, say the 17th, but if they didn't get their check until the 27 th, there was always somebody would bitch about it, but that was just typical but they got paid.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. What sort of thing would delay their payment?>

MR. KIMBALL: Availability of cash because bills and payroll and stuff like that came before the debt payment. So if you had payroll, our payroll let's say was a million dollars, if that was on the same day that that payment should happen, that debt payment would be paid late.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So the retail borrowing and repayment, interest payment performance inside an LLC bank account at CoreFirst, that will purpose of money and movement of money. That was not necessarily the source of where the investor received their monthly payment.

MR. KIMBALL: No, they received their payment from the proceeds of the loans that were in that LLC.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay, then let me ask you this. Did you ever pay LTS's overhead out of those LLC accounts?

```
    MR. KIMBALL: I already told you that
they paid the overhead as part of the management fee.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay, so you're
paying out through the course of the month that
twenty percent.
    MR. KIMBALL: Yeah and that's --
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And that's the
only thing that's coming out of those accounts.
    MR. KIMBALL: I don't understand what
you're saying.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: You say hit
payroll. You come to payroll day in the middle of
the month, yes to every two weeks or something.
    MR. KIMBALL: We pay on Fridays.
Yeah.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay, where did
the money come from?
    MR. KIMBALL: The money was pulled
out of all these different LLCs to make payment.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: To pay LTS
payroll.
    MR. KIMBALL: Right. That is part of
the service agreement.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. And were
    they all hit with the, the pull of money from their
```
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```
accounts, was it based on the amount of money
```

accounts, was it based on the amount of money
proportionately of the LLCs compared to each other or
proportionately of the LLCs compared to each other or
an equal amount taken out of each?
an equal amount taken out of each?
MR. KIMBALL: I don't know. I didn't
MR. KIMBALL: I don't know. I didn't
do those calculations.
do those calculations.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay, but bottom
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay, but bottom
line is you went for the period of month that LTS
line is you went for the period of month that LTS
overhead items were all coming out of those LLC
overhead items were all coming out of those LLC
accounts.
accounts.
MR. KIMBALL: That's why we ran those
MR. KIMBALL: That's why we ran those
accounts for people.
accounts for people.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And when they
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: And when they
came out of those LLC accounts, did they go into an
came out of those LLC accounts, did they go into an
LTS operating account first?
LTS operating account first?
MR. KIMBALL: I would assume so, yes.
MR. KIMBALL: I would assume so, yes.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: You mentioned in
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: You mentioned in
discussion with Trustee, just one single debt I want
discussion with Trustee, just one single debt I want
to follow up on, E. Baker two hundred and twenty sum
to follow up on, E. Baker two hundred and twenty sum
thousand bucks?
thousand bucks?
MR. KIMBALL: Sorry.
MR. KIMBALL: Sorry.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I believe you
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I believe you
were describing or talking about a debt that is on
were describing or talking about a debt that is on
your Schedules for two hundred and twenty thousand
your Schedules for two hundred and twenty thousand
dollars roughly that I think was identified as from
dollars roughly that I think was identified as from
owed to E. Baker.

```
    owed to E. Baker.
```

MR. KIMBALL: I think this name is
Eric Baker (ph).

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay. Do you

```
know whether that is an investor or a note holder?
```

    MR. KIMBALL: It's a debt holder.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: A debt holder in
    LTS directly?
    MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Do you know
    whether that particular promissory debt was moved
into any of the LLCs?
MR. KIMBALL: I would assume so, I
don't know which one though.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Thank you.
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Can I just
follow up on just so we are all clear, because I
thought I understood and then you asked a question
and it kind of threw me. If an investor is owed
money from one of these LLCs, their return is going
to be paid out of the LLC directly, is that correct?
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Okay, the LLC is
not going to pay LTS before it pays the LLC for its
investment, for the investment portion of the
(inaudible).
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MR. KIMBALL: I don't understand your
questions.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Let me ask it this way and maybe it will make sense. There was an order of payment in which funds were used for the individual LLC accounts. First went to pay LTS Management fee, then went to pay the notes and then any money that was left over it was distributed to you and Mr. Furseth, is that correct?

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: That's not what I am asking.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Oh, I'm sorry.
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: That's not what I'm asking.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: That's a good question. That's a good question separately.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Okay, so when the LLC would pay its operating expenses, it would clearly just pay LTS, is that correct, the twenty percent?

MR. KIMBALL: Yes.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Okay, when the LLC owed its investor, the LLC would pay the investor directly, is that also true?

MR. KIMBALL: Yes.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: The LLC would
not pay LTS and then LTS wouldn't pay the investor
for that particular fund.
MR. KIMBALL: Not unless the investor
was one of these listed people.
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: That's right.
That's what $I$ just wanted to make sure.
MR. KIMBALL: Like Eric Baker.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Now I want to re-
ask my question, so hypothetically there is a hundred
thousand dollars in one of these accounts that needs
to be distributed. The order of distribution as I
understand your testimony today would be first to LTS
Management fee, then to --
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Twenty thousand.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Twenty thousand
because that would be twenty percent, then to the
note holder, whatever was due on the amortization
schedule, then if there were fund left over, those
funds might be retained earnings or they might be
paid to you or Mr. Furseth as the owners.
MR. KIMBALL: Theoretically you're
correct.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: We changing now,
let's change the facts. There is a thirty percent
write off because thirty percent of your customer or
the customer doesn't pay, so you have in my scenario
let's use five hundred thousand. So you've got a
hundred thousand dollar management fee on that, so
you're down to four hundred, you've got a thirty
percent default rate so that's a hundred and fifty,
so then you are down to two hundred and fifty
thousand dollar balance that is to be used to pay
five hundred thousand dollars of lender investor
money because they put five hundred into it.
MR. KIMBALL: Let me give you this --
let me give you the simple way you calculate the
payment. If you have a million dollar fund that
generates six hundred thousand dollars in fees.
Okay.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: A month.
MR. KIMBALL: A month.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: okay.
MR. KIMBALL: Those fees are not part
of the default rate, okay. That's, so our default
rate on fees was twenty percent so that's, what's
that, a hundred and fifty thousand dollars?
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Twenty percent on
six hundred is a hundred and twenty.
MR. KIMBALL: A hundred and twenty,

```
so now you're down to four eighty. You lose on a
monthly basis, once you have the established account
    out there, twenty percent. So that's two hundred
    thousand dollars you have to repay to keep the fund
    at a million dollars, right. So now we are down to
two eighty, right.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay.
    MR. KIMBALL: And then you pay your
    management fee of two hundred thousand, you have
    eighty thousand dollars left over to pay interest
    payments, etc, etc, and then whatever is left over
    after that.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Would that be
    enough to satisfy the debt typically?
    MR. KIMBALL: Oh yeah.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Because and I
    think this is to correct what you're thinking Ed.
    They are not repaying the note in full every month,
    they are paying this on a three year amortization.
    MR. KIMBALL: A three or four year
    amortization.
    UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So they are
    taking that million dollars and they are amortizing
    it over three years at an interest rate, so their
monthly payment is significantly less than what, the
```

```
balance of the fund.
```

    MR. KIMBALL: Yeah, the interest
    payment is sixty-three thousand dollars or something.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Did those that
were invested in equity not debt portfolios get some
kind of monthly statement showing basically that
calculation that you just went through so that they
had transparency?
MR. KIMBALL: Yeah, only the people
who had the equity partnerships. The debt holders
did not.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: What would, if
you were $I$ guess to turn that into kind of an annual
return or let's use the million dollar example, what
were those people, was it fairly regular amount of
dollars they were receiving on a monthly basis or did
it really (inaudible)?
MR. KIMBALL: It was fairly
consistent but it had to (inaudible) a little bit.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So on a million
dollar portfolio, if $I$ had an equity portfolio with
you, I'm talking about basically getting forty
thousand dollars a month on your behalf or maybe more
than that because you're not paying interest on a
debt investment.

MR. KIMBALL: Theoretically
something, somewhere around there.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: On, let's use
your hypothetical one more time, $I$ just have one follow up question, so when would you, when would Evergreen, $I$ guess as the owner of the fund assuming this was a debt fund and not an equity fund, when would it pay itself? Would it pay itself on a monthly basis? Would it pay itself on, when the three year, when the note was over --

MR. KIMBALL: Just depended on the cash flow.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: There was no set --

MR. KIMBALL: There was no schedule.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Set schedule about how you did disbursements?

MR. KIMBALL: No.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Or I guess distributions would be technically accurate.

MR. KIMBALL: No.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay.
MR. KIMBALL: I'm going to get a ticket if (inaudible - multiple people talking). If we want to take a break or --

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Did you want to
continue it or did you --
EDWARD NAZAAR: We will continue it
and any continuance will be in junction with you
three at Mr. Kimball's schedule. Thank you sir.
MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Sorry to meet you
under these circumstances.
MR. KIMBALL: Hopefully I answered the
best that I could.
EDWARD NAZAAR: The record should
reflect that $I$ (inaudible - noise).
MR. KIMBALL: Nice to meet you.
EDWARD NAZAAR: Thank you. The
record should reflect that I received a check from
this (inaudible) and bank account record, the check
was in the amount of two thousand three eighty-eight
ninety-seven. What was the (inaudible - noise and
multiple people talking).
(Recording $\mathrm{N}-49361-004$ ended)
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